

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

Cities and Counties Not Currently Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

This determination represents Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) data received as of December 4, 2018. The following 24 jurisdictions have met their prorated Lower (Very-Low and Low) and Above-Moderate Income Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) for the Reporting Period and submitted their latest APR (2017). These jurisdictions are not currently subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining), but the jurisdictions are still encouraged to promote streamlining. **All other cities and counties beyond these 24 are subject to at least some form of SB 35 streamlining, as indicated on the following pages.**

For more detail on the proration methodology or background data see the SB 35 Determination Methodology.

	JURISDICTION
1	AMERICAN CANYON
2	ATHERTON
3	BELL
4	BEVERLY HILLS
5	CALISTOGA
6	CARPINTERIA
7	EL CERRITO
8	FOSTER CITY
9	HEALDSBURG
10	HILLSBOROUGH
11	LAGUNA NIGUEL
12	LEMON GROVE
13	MENLO PARK
14	MILL VALLEY
15	MONTE SERENO
16	PASO ROBLES
17	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
18	SANTA CLARA COUNTY
19	SANTA MONICA
20	SOLVANG
21	SONOMA COUNTY
22	UKIAH
23	WEST HOLLYWOOD
24	WOODSIDE

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

When Proposed Developments Include $\geq 10\%$ Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (2017), these jurisdictions are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

These conditions currently apply to the following 316 jurisdictions:

JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION	
1	ADELANTO	41	CATHEDRAL	81	FARMERSVILLE
2	ALAMEDA COUNTY	42	CERES	82	FERNDALE
3	ALHAMBRA	43	CHOWCHILLA	83	FILLMORE
4	ALISO VIEJO	44	CITRUS HEIGHTS	84	FIREBAUGH
5	ALTURAS	45	CLAYTON	85	FORT BRAGG
6	AMADOR	46	CLEARLAKE	86	FORT JONES
7	AMADOR COUNTY	47	CLOVERDALE	87	FORTUNA
8	ANDERSON	48	COACHELLA	88	FOUNTAIN VALLEY
9	ANGELS CAMP	49	COLFAX	89	FOWLER
10	APPLE VALLEY	50	COLMA	90	FRESNO COUNTY
11	ARCADIA	51	COLTON	91	GARDEN GROVE
12	ARCATA	52	COLUSA	92	GLENN COUNTY
13	ARROYO GRANDE	53	COLUSA COUNTY	93	GONZALES
14	ARVIN	54	COMMERCE	94	GRAND TERRACE
15	ATASCADERO	55	COMPTON	95	GRASS VALLEY
16	AVALON	56	CONCORD	96	GREENFIELD
17	AVENAL	57	CORCORAN	97	GRIDLEY
18	AZUSA	58	CORNING	98	GUADALUPE
19	BAKERSFIELD	59	COVINA	99	GUSTINE
20	BANNING	60	CRESCENT CITY	100	HALF MOON BAY
21	BARSTOW	61	CUDAHY	101	HANFORD
22	BEAUMONT	62	DEL NORTE COUNTY	102	HAWAIIAN GARDENS
23	BELVEDERE	63	DEL REY OAKS	103	HAYWARD
24	BENICIA	64	DELANO	104	HEMET
25	BIGGS	65	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	105	HERMOSA BEACH
26	BISHOP	66	DINUBA	106	HIDDEN HILLS
27	BLUE LAKE	67	DORRIS	107	HIGHLAND
28	BLYTHE	68	DOS PALOS	108	HOLTVILLE
29	BRADBURY	69	DUARTE	109	HUMBOLDT COUNTY
30	BRAWLEY	70	DUNSMUIR	110	HUNTINGTON BEACH
31	BURBANK	71	EAST PALO ALTO	111	HUNTINGTON PARK
32	BUTTE COUNTY	72	EL CAJON	112	HURON
33	CALAVERAS COUNTY	73	EL CENTRO	113	IMPERIAL
34	CALEXICO	74	EL MONTE	114	IMPERIAL COUNTY
35	CALIFORNIA CITY	75	EL SEGUNDO	115	INDUSTRY
36	CALIMESA	76	ESCALON	116	INGLEWOOD
37	CALIPATRIA	77	ESCONDIDO	117	INYO COUNTY
38	CANYON LAKE	78	ETNA	118	IONE
39	CARMEL	79	EUREKA	119	IRWINDALE
40	CARSON	80	EXETER	120	ISLETON

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

When Proposed Developments Include $\geq 10\%$ Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (2017), these jurisdictions are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

These conditions currently apply to the following 316 jurisdictions:

JURISDICTION	JURISDICTION	JURISDICTION
121 JACKSON	161 MAYWOOD	201 PARLIER
122 JURUPA VALLEY	162 MCFARLAND	202 PATTERSON
123 KERMAN	163 MENDOCINO COUNTY	203 PICO RIVERA
124 KERN COUNTY	164 MENDOTA	204 PINOLE
125 KINGS COUNTY	165 MENIFEE	205 PISMO BEACH
126 KINGSBURG	166 MERCED	206 PLEASANT HILL
127 LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	167 MERCED COUNTY	207 PLYMOUTH
128 LA HABRA HEIGHTS	168 MILLBRAE	208 POINT ARENA
129 LA MIRADA	169 MODESTO	209 POMONA
130 LA PUENTE	170 MODOC COUNTY	210 PORTERVILLE
131 LA VERNE	171 MONTAGUE	211 PORTOLA
132 LAKE COUNTY	172 MONTCLAIR	212 POWAY
133 LAKEPORT	173 MONTEBELLO	213 RED BLUFF
134 LANCASTER	174 MONTEREY	214 REDDING
135 LASSEN COUNTY	175 MONTEREY PARK	215 REDLANDS
136 LATHROP	176 MORENO VALLEY	216 REDONDO BEACH
137 LAWNSDALE	177 MORRO BAY	217 REEDLEY
138 LEMOORE	178 MOUNT SHASTA	218 RIALTO
139 LINDSAY	179 MURRIETA	219 RICHMOND
140 LIVE OAK	180 NATIONAL CITY	220 RIDGECREST
141 LIVINGSTON	181 NEEDLES	221 RIO DELL
142 LOMA LINDA	182 NEVADA CITY	222 RIO VISTA
143 LOMPOC	183 NEWARK	223 RIPON
144 LONG BEACH	184 NEWMAN	224 RIVERBANK
145 LOOMIS	185 NORCO	225 RIVERSIDE
146 LOS ALAMITOS	186 NOVATO	226 RIVERSIDE COUNTY
147 LOS ALTOS HILLS	187 OCEANSIDE	227 ROLLING HILLS
148 LOS ANGELES COUNTY	188 OJAI	228 ROSEMEAD
149 LOS BANOS	189 ONTARIO	229 ROSS
150 LOYALTON	190 ORANGE COVE	230 SACRAMENTO
151 LYNWOOD	191 ORLAND	231 SACRAMENTO COUNTY
152 MADERA	192 OROVILLE	232 SALINAS
153 MADERA COUNTY	193 OXNARD	233 SAN ANSELMO
154 MANHATTAN BEACH	194 PACIFIC GROVE	234 SAN BENITO COUNTY
155 MANTECA	195 PACIFICA	235 SAN BERNARDINO
156 MARICOPA	196 PALM DESERT	236 SAN BRUNO
157 MARINA	197 PALMDALE	237 SAN DIEGO COUNTY
158 MARIPOSA COUNTY	198 PALOS VERDES ESTATES	238 SAN DIMAS
159 MARTINEZ	199 PARADISE	239 SAN FERNANDO
160 MARYSVILLE	200 PARAMOUNT	240 SAN JACINTO

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

When Proposed Developments Include \geq 10% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (2017), these jurisdictions are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

These conditions currently apply to the following 316 jurisdictions:

JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION	
241	SAN JOAQUIN	281	TEHACHAPI
242	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY	282	TEHAMA
243	SAN JUAN BAUTISTA	283	TEHAMA COUNTY
244	SAN LEANDRO	284	TEMPLE CITY
245	SAN MATEO COUNTY	285	TORRANCE
246	SAN PABLO	286	TRINIDAD
247	SAN RAFAEL	287	TRINITY COUNTY
248	SAND CITY	288	TULARE
249	SANGER	289	TULARE COUNTY
250	SANTA BARBARA	290	TULELAKE
251	SANTA CLARITA	291	TUOLUMNE COUNTY
252	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	292	TURLOCK
253	SANTA MARIA	293	TWENTYNINE PALMS
254	SANTA PAULA	294	UPLAND
255	SANTA ROSA	295	VALLEJO
256	SANTEE	296	VENTURA COUNTY
257	SARATOGA	297	VERNON
258	SAUSALITO	298	VICTORVILLE
259	SCOTTS VALLEY	299	VILLA PARK
260	SEAL BEACH	300	WATERFORD
261	SEASIDE	301	WEED
262	SEBASTOPOL	302	WEST SACRAMENTO
263	SELMA	303	WESTLAKE VILLAGE
264	SHAFTER	304	WESTMORLAND
265	SHASTA COUNTY	305	WHEATLAND
266	SHASTA LAKE	306	WHITTIER
267	SIERRA COUNTY	307	WILLIAMS
268	SIGNAL HILL	308	WILLITS
269	SISKIYOU COUNTY	309	WILLOWS
270	SOLANA BEACH	310	WOODLAKE
271	SOLEDAD	311	YOLO COUNTY
272	SONOMA	312	YREKA
273	SONORA	313	YUBA CITY
274	SOUTH EL MONTE	314	YUBA COUNTY
275	SOUTH GATE	315	YUCAIPA
276	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	316	YUCCA VALLEY
277	STOCKTON		
278	SUISUN CITY		
279	SUSANVILLE		
280	TAFT		

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

When Proposed Developments Include \geq 50% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very Low and Low income), these jurisdictions are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

The following list includes the 199 jurisdictions that are not subject to SB 35 streamlining for proposed developments with \geq 10% affordability, but are subject to SB 35 streamlining for proposed developments with \geq 50% affordability.

JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION	
1	AGOURA HILLS	36	CORONA	71	GROVER BEACH
2	ALAMEDA	37	CORONADO	72	HAWTHORNE
3	ALBANY	38	CORTE MADERA	73	HERCULES
4	ALPINE COUNTY	39	COSTA MESA	74	HESPERIA
5	ANAHEIM	40	COTATI	75	HOLLISTER
6	ANTIOCH	41	CULVER CITY	76	HUGHSON
7	ARTESIA	42	CUPERTINO	77	IMPERIAL BEACH
8	ATWATER	43	CYPRESS	78	INDIAN WELLS
9	AUBURN	44	DALY CITY	79	INDIO
10	BALDWIN PARK	45	DANA POINT	80	IRVINE
11	BELL GARDENS	46	DANVILLE	81	KING CITY
12	BELLFLOWER	47	DAVIS	82	LA HABRA
13	BELMONT	48	DEL MAR	83	LA MESA
14	BERKELEY	49	DIAMOND BAR	84	LA PALMA
15	BIG BEAR LAKE	50	DIXON	85	LA QUINTA
16	BREA	51	DOWNNEY	86	LAFAYETTE
17	BRENTWOOD	52	DUBLIN	87	LAGUNA BEACH
18	BRISBANE	53	EASTVALE	88	LAGUNA HILLS
19	BUELLTON	54	EL DORADO COUNTY	89	LAGUNA WOODS
20	BUENA PARK	55	ELK GROVE	90	LAKE ELSINORE
21	BURLINGAME	56	EMERYVILLE	91	LAKE FOREST
22	CALABASAS	57	ENCINITAS	92	LAKEWOOD
23	CAMARILLO	58	FAIRFAX	93	LARKSPUR
24	CAMPBELL	59	FAIRFIELD	94	LINCOLN
25	CAPITOLA	60	FOLSOM	95	LIVERMORE
26	CARLSBAD	61	FONTANA	96	LODI
27	CERRITOS	62	FREMONT	97	LOMITA
28	CHICO	63	FRESNO	98	LOS ALTOS
29	CHINO	64	FULLERTON	99	LOS ANGELES
30	CHINO HILLS	65	GALT	100	LOS GATOS
31	CHULA VISTA	66	GARDENA	101	MALIBU
32	CLAREMONT	67	GILROY	102	MAMMOTH LAKES
33	CLOVIS	68	GLENDALE	103	MARIN COUNTY
34	COALINGA	69	GLENORA	104	MILPITAS
35	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	70	GOLETA	105	MISSION VIEJO

SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

When Proposed Developments Include \geq 50% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very Low and Low income), these jurisdictions are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

The following list includes the 199 jurisdictions that are not subject to SB 35 streamlining for proposed developments with \geq 10% affordability, but are subject to SB 35 streamlining for proposed developments with \geq 50% affordability.

JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION	
106	MONO COUNTY	143	REDWOOD CITY	180	TIBURON
107	MONROVIA	144	ROCKLIN	181	TRACY
108	MONTEREY COUNTY	145	ROHNERT PARK	182	TRUCKEE
109	MOORPARK	146	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	183	TUSTIN
110	MORAGA	147	ROSEVILLE	184	UNION CITY
111	MORGAN HILL	148	SAINT HELENA	185	VACAVILLE
112	MOUNTAIN VIEW	149	SAN BUENAVENTURA	186	VISALIA
113	NAPA	150	SAN CARLOS	187	VISTA
114	NAPA COUNTY	151	SAN CLEMENTE	188	WALNUT
115	NEVADA COUNTY	152	SAN DIEGO	189	WALNUT CREEK
116	NEWPORT BEACH	153	SAN FRANCISCO	190	WASCO
117	NORWALK	154	SAN GABRIEL	191	WATSONVILLE
118	OAKDALE	155	SAN JOSE	192	WEST COVINA
119	OAKLAND	156	SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO	193	WESTMINSTER
120	OAKLEY	157	SAN LUIS OBISPO	194	WILDOMAR
121	ORANGE	158	SAN LUIS OBISPO CO.	195	WINDSOR
122	ORANGE COUNTY	159	SAN MARCOS	196	WINTERS
123	ORINDA	160	SAN MARINO	197	WOODLAND
124	PALM SPRINGS	161	SAN MATEO	198	YORBA LINDA
125	PALO ALTO	162	SAN RAMON	199	YOUNTVILLE
126	PASADENA	163	SANTA ANA		
127	PERRIS	164	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY		
128	PETALUMA	165	SANTA CLARA		
129	PIEDMONT	166	SANTA CRUZ		
130	PITTSBURG	167	SANTA FE SPRINGS		
131	PLACENTIA	168	SIERRA MADRE		
132	PLACER COUNTY	169	SIMI VALLEY		
133	PLACERVILLE	170	SOLANO COUNTY		
134	PLEASANTON	171	SOUTH PASADENA		
135	PLUMAS COUNTY	172	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO		
136	PORT HUENEME	173	STANISLAUS COUNTY		
137	PORTOLA VALLEY	174	STANTON		
138	RANCHO CORDOVA	175	SUNNYVALE		
139	RANCHO CUCAMONGA	176	SUTTER COUNTY		
140	RANCHO MIRAGE	177	SUTTER CREEK		
141	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	178	TEMECULA		
142	RANCHO ST. MARGARITA	179	THOUSAND OAKS		