Proposed Guideline Amendments

• Initial Guidelines adopted July 2017
• Changes needed following Round 1
• Public comments accepted through June 10, 2019
• Submit to NPLH@hcd.ca.gov
• Amendments to be finalized September 2019
• Round 2 Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) to be released in September 2019
Proposed Guideline Amendments

• Goals:
  – Increase effectiveness and efficiency
  – Round 1 lessons learned
  – Technical clean-up
Increase Effectiveness and Efficiency

• Aligning with other HCD Programs
  – Same per unit subsidy limits for capital costs
    • Will assist with higher construction costs
    • Keep NPLH on par with other HCD supportive housing or special needs programs
    • Capitalized Operating Subsidy Reserve (COSR) per-unit limits methodology not changing except for planned Consumer Price Index (CPI) increases; although Department may exercise greater flexibility in COSR sizing over time
Increase Effectiveness and Efficiency

– Same developer fee calculation
  • See developer fee changes memo published with the Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention (VHHP) Program and Transit Oriented Development Housing (TOD) Program Guidelines for further explanation

– Transition Reserves (TR)
  • Flexibility in sizing based on risk of rental subsidy source not renewing
  • Flexibility in determining how high rents can be raised after TR is gone
  • Department or Alternative Process County (APC) may hold TRs, rather than the projects
Increase Effectiveness and Efficiency

• Stacking rules
  — 1 HCD funding source per-unit for most programs
  — Applies to APC loans as well

  — Exemptions include, but not limited to, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Home Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program, non-housing activities, existing HCD loans/grants that are recapitalized as part of a rehab
Increase Effectiveness and Efficiency

• Stacking rules
  — Layering NPLH Noncompetitive Allocation and Competitive Allocation funds on same unit is still permitted
  — Non-HCD sources on NPLH units is still permitted
  — Other HCD sources on Non-NPLH units is still permitted
Increase Effectiveness and Efficiency

• Why prohibit stacking?
  — With increased per unit subsidy limits, saves time in having to get multiple HCD sources per unit
  — Eliminates potentially conflicting HCD program requirements on the same units
Increase Effectiveness and Efficiency

• Treatment of Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) Hybrid Projects
  - 9% and 4% components must submit separate applications if seeking NPLH funding for both components
  - Project scored as a 9% project for financing committed Readiness points
  - Leverage – 4% component funds count automatically; 9% component funds must be committed to count in leverage score
  - Hybrid election not revocable once made
Comments?
Round 1 Lessons Learned

• Undersubscription in one or more Competitive Allocation funding pools
  
  Department may:
  
  – Transfer to oversubscribed pools to fund as many eligible, feasible projects as the NOFA amount will permit
  
  – In following round, these amounts will be available to previously undersubscribed competitive funding pool(s)
  
  – 3 to 4 funding rounds remain
Round 1 Lessons Learned

• Site control through anticipated award date in NOFA
• Article XXXIV approval prior to award
• Loan Closing Deadlines (from HCD award)
  – 36 months construction close
  – 60 months perm close
  – Extensions of up to a total of 12 months may be requested
Round 1 Lessons Learned

- Documents needed with Application (partial list)
  - Market Study
  - Appraisal
  - Phase I/II (new construction) or
  - Lead-based paint, asbestos, mold (rehab)
  - PTR
  - Service Provider, Property Manager experience documentation
  - County Supportive Service Plans (expect changes to application form)
  - Relocation Plan or documentation as to N/A
  - Documentation justifying exemption from 1:1 replacement rule
  - Authorizing Resolutions from both the County and the Development Sponsor
Round 1 Lessons Learned

• Enforceable Funding Commitments needed for leverage and financing committed points
  - Noncompetitive sources exempt:
    o 4% tax credits/bonds
    o Deferred developer fee
    o NPLH Noncompetitive Allocation funds accepted by the County prior to 8-15-19
  - Other HCD sources must be awarded prior to finalizing preliminary point scores
Round 1 Lessons Learned

• Commitment Letters must contain:
  – Applicant or Development Sponsor name
  – Project name, site address, Assessor’s Parcel number (APN), or legal description
  – Amount, interest rate, terms
  – May not be conditional, except that commitments conditioned on receipt of 4% or 9% tax credits are generally acceptable
Round 1 Lessons Learned

• Counting land donations of leased land
  – Value at time of donation established by appraisal
  – Appreciation, up-front lease payments, mandatory annual lease payments over $100, and administrative fees or residual receipts will discount this value
Round 1 Lessons Learned

• Evidence-based practices evaluated for lead service provider through verification provided by the County
• Phase I/II removed as a scoring item - now required for rental new construction (RNC)
• Design review approval removed as a scoring item
Comments?
Technical Clean-Up

• If County is using Coordinated Entry System (CES) for its At-Risk if Chronic Homelessness referrals as well as for it’s Chronic Homeless, and Homeless referrals, the project will not be held to serving specific numbers or percentages within these subpopulation groups.

• Let local CES protocols drive who is served based on that vulnerability prioritization system.
Technical Clean-Up

• Loan pay-down or pay-off, monitoring fee calculated based on original loan amount
  – Current department practice necessary to sustain long term monitoring (LTM) function over period of affordability
  – Amount of fee may be re-set at Year 30 as necessary to cover Department monitoring costs
Technical Clean up

• Clarify actual dates for Noncompetitive Allocation

  • August 15, 2019 (Allocation Acceptance)
  • August 27, 2019 (Shared Hsg Desig Form)
  • Feb 15, 2021 (Last day for Application and Homeless Plan Submission)
  • August 15, 2023 (Expenditure)
Technical Clean up

• In tax credit projects, Department will defer to judgement of TCAC in approving exemptions from accessibility requirements

• Re-ordering of requirements in Project Threshold section to better reflect when items are evaluated
Alternative Process County (APC) Changes

• Designation required only once unless designation is revoked or relinquished
• Can establish alternate methods for setting per unit subsidy limits other than based on area median income (AMI) targeting or unit size
• Increase number of draws per year to up to four
• Conforming language to new Department stacking rules
Shared Housing

• Can establish alternate methods for setting per unit subsidy limits other than based on AMI targeting or unit size

• Conforming language to new Department stacking rules
Comments?
Submitting Public Comments

• Written Comments: NPLH@hcd.ca.gov
  – Comments due no later than 5 PM on June 10

• Public Hearings:
  – May 29: Santa Ana City Council Chambers 10 AM
  – June 4: Webinar
Stay in the know . . .

Sign up for HCD email at www.hcd.ca.gov
Stay in the know . . .

Follow HCD on social media

Like us on Facebook: /CaliforniaHCD

Follow us on Twitter: @California_HCD

Follow us on LinkedIn: /company/californiahcd