### VHHP 2016 Round 2 Awards Data Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Available VHHP Funding</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funding Available</td>
<td>$570,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Round 1 Awards</td>
<td>$63,178,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 Round 2 Awards</td>
<td>$116,102,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Awarded</td>
<td>$179,281,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Remaining</td>
<td>$390,718,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Awarded</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Veteran Housing Need

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Veteran Housing Need</th>
<th>CA Veteran Population</th>
<th>VHHP Units Awarded</th>
<th>% of Need Addressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extremely low income with severe cost burden*</td>
<td>38,025</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiencing Homelessness*</td>
<td>11,311</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiencing Chronic Homelessness**</td>
<td>3,872</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Housing Instability Among Our Nation's Veterans, http://nlihc.org/veterans
**VA 2015 Point In Time (PIT) Count

### Program Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Projected</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>% Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Veteran Persons Served</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>1,243</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veteran Units Awarded</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP Supportive Housing Units</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHHP Chronic Homeless Units</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding for Extremely Low Income Veterans Housing</td>
<td>$285,000,000</td>
<td>$95,715,812</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Geographic Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographic Targets</th>
<th>Target %</th>
<th>Actual %</th>
<th>Total Awarded</th>
<th># of Projects</th>
<th># of VHHP Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bay Area</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>$24,148,167</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>$56,291,020</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland/Orange</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>$3,482,198</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>$16,206,054</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>$15,975,356</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$116,102,795</strong></td>
<td><strong>28</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,830</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Units Provided

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units Provided</th>
<th>Total Units</th>
<th>VHHP Units</th>
<th>% VHHP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,830</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Low Income</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Low Income</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Income</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Select Funding Sources Leveraged

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Sources</th>
<th># of Projects</th>
<th>% of Total Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VASH</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Operating Subsidy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% Low Income Tax Credit</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9% Low Income Tax Credit</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Services Act</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>