

## NPLH Formula Allocation Estimates for the Competitive Program

	COUNTY	Pop Est. as of 1/1/2016	*2015 PIT Count	% of PIT	**ELI Severe Renter Cost Burden	Formula Allocation With the 2% default Reserve
<b>Los Angeles</b>						
	Los Angeles	10,241,335	44,359	38.33%	377,750	<b>\$614,075,173</b>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>10,241,335</b>	<b>44359</b>	<b>38.33%</b>	<b>377,750</b>	
<b>Large Counties</b>						
1	Alameda	1,627,865	4,040	3.49%	47,635	<b>\$682,515,630</b>
2	Contra Costa	1,123,429	2,031	1.75%	22,585	
3	Fresno	984,541	1,458	1.26%	24,650	
4	Kern	886,507	954	0.82%	18,355	
5	Orange	3,183,011	4,452	3.85%	76,375	
6	Riverside	2,347,828	2,372	2.05%	38,455	
7	Sacramento	1,495,297	2,659	2.30%	40,240	
8	San Bernardino	2,139,570	2,149	1.86%	43,845	
9	San Diego	3,288,612	8,742	7.55%	87,755	
10	San Francisco	866,583	6,775	5.85%	37,910	
11	San Mateo	766,041	1,483	1.28%	16,610	
12	Santa Clara	1,927,888	6,556	5.66%	42,375	
13	Ventura	856,508	1,417	1.22%	14,100	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>21,493,680</b>	<b>45,088</b>	<b>38.96%</b>	<b>510,890</b>	
<b>Medium Counties</b>						
1	Butte	224,601	571	0.49%	5,665	<b>\$245,145,198</b>
2	Marin	262,274	1,318	1.14%	7,115	
3	Merced	271,579	898	0.78%	6,100	
4	Monterey	437,178	2,308	1.99%	7,850	
5	Placer	373,796	517	0.45%	5,205	
6	San Joaquin	733,383	1,708	1.48%	13,955	
7	San Luis Obispo	277,977	1,515	1.31%	7,850	
8	Santa Barbara	446,717	1,729	1.49%	10,340	
9	Santa Cruz	275,902	1,964	1.70%	7,835	
10	Solano	431,498	1,082	0.93%	8,830	
11	Sonoma	501,959	3,097	2.68%	9,830	
12	Stanislaus	540,214	1,408	1.22%	11,525	
13	Tulare	466,339	636	0.55%	9,205	
14	Yolo	214,555	498	0.43%	6,225	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5,457,972</b>	<b>19,249</b>	<b>16.63%</b>	<b>117,530</b>	
		<b>37,192,987</b>	<b>108,696</b>	<b>93.92%</b>	<b>1,006,170</b>	

## NPLH Formula Allocation Estimates for the Competitive Program

	COUNTY	Pop Est. as of 1/1/2016	*2015 PIT Count	% of PIT	**ELI Severe Renter Cost Burden	Formula Allocation With the 2% default Reserve
<b>Small Counties</b>						
1	Alpine	1,166	0	0.00%	8	<b>\$134,064,000 equals 8% set of the available funds (per WIC Section 5849.8 (c))</b>
2	Amador	37,707	117	0.10%	455	
3	Calaveras	45,207	56	0.05%	605	
4	Colusa	21,948	22	0.02%	194	
5	Del Norte	26,811	86	0.07%	630	
6	El Dorado	183,750	269	0.23%	2,280	
7	Glenn	28,668	80	0.07%	430	
8	Humboldt	135,116	1,180	1.02%	4,315	
9	Imperial	185,831	554	0.48%	4,165	
10	Inyo	18,650	40	0.03%	344	
11	Kings	150,373	226	0.20%	2,860	
12	Lake	64,306	315	0.27%	1,765	
13	Lassen	30,780	26	0.02%	715	
14	Madera	155,349	264	0.23%	2,350	
15	Mariposa	18,159	41	0.04%	270	
16	Mendocino	88,378	947	0.82%	2,350	
17	Modoc	9,638	0	0.00%	225	
18	Mono	13,721	13	0.01%	280	
19	Napa	142,028	293	0.25%	2,515	
20	Nevada	98,095	279	0.24%	1,730	
21	Plumas	19,879	30	0.03%	325	
22	San Benito	56,648	651	0.56%	955	
23	Shasta	178,592	434	0.37%	4,500	
24	Sierra	3,203	15	0.01%	55	
25	Siskiyou	44,739	0	0.00%	1,175	
26	Sutter	97,308	215	0.19%	1,615	
27	Tehama	63,934	138	0.12%	940	
28	Trinity	13,667	34	0.03%	185	
29	Tuolumne	54,900	216	0.19%	1,005	
30	Yuba	74,345	501	0.43%	1,130	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,062,896</b>	<b>7,042</b>	<b>6.08%</b>	<b>40,371</b>	
	<b>State Total</b>	<b>39,255,883</b>	<b>115,738</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$ 1,046,541</b>	<b>\$ 1,675,800,001</b>

NPLH allocation	\$ 1,800,000,000
NPLH administration	\$ 90,000,000
NPLH competitive allocation	\$ 1,675,800,001
Default reserve	\$ 34,199,999

**Data Source:**

[\\*2015 Point-in-Time Count \(Unsheltered and Sheltered counts\)](#)

[\\*\\*ELI Severe Renter Cost Burden \(HUD CHAS Data\)](#)

## NPLH Formula Allocation Estimates with the Alternative Program

	COUNTY	Pop Est. as of 1/1/2016	*2015 PIT Count	% of PIT	**ELI Severe Renter Cost Burden	Formula Allocation With the 2% default Reserve
<b>Alternative Program</b>						
1	Los Angeles	10,241,335	44,359	38.33%	377,750	\$626,607,319
2	San Diego	3,288,612	8,742	7.55%	87,755	\$129,731,360
3	San Francisco	866,583	6,775	5.85%	37,910	\$86,422,356
4	Santa Clara	1,927,888	6,556	5.66%	42,375	\$86,297,964
<b>Total</b>		<b>16,324,418</b>	<b>66,432</b>	<b>57.40%</b>	<b>545,790</b>	<b>\$929,058,999</b>
<b>Large Counties</b>						
1	Alameda	1,627,865	4,040	3.49%	47,635	<b>\$386,112,983</b>
2	Contra Costa	1,123,429	2,031	1.75%	22,585	
3	Fresno	984,541	1,458	1.26%	24,650	
4	Kern	886,507	954	0.82%	18,355	
5	Orange	3,183,011	4,452	3.85%	76,375	
6	Riverside	2,347,828	2,372	2.05%	38,455	
7	Sacramento	1,495,297	2,659	2.30%	40,240	
8	San Bernardino	2,139,570	2,149	1.86%	43,845	
9	San Mateo	766,041	1,483	1.28%	16,610	
10	Ventura	856,508	1,417	1.22%	14,100	
<b>Total</b>		<b>15,410,597</b>	<b>23,015</b>	<b>19.89%</b>	<b>342,850</b>	
<b>Medium Counties</b>						
1	Butte	224,601	571	0.49%	5,665	<b>\$245,145,198</b>
2	Marin	262,274	1,318	1.14%	7,115	
3	Merced	271,579	898	0.78%	6,100	
4	Monterey	437,178	2,308	1.99%	7,850	
5	Placer	373,796	517	0.45%	5,205	
6	San Joaquin	733,383	1,708	1.48%	13,955	
7	San Luis Obispo	277,977	1,515	1.31%	7,850	
8	Santa Barbara	446,717	1,729	1.49%	10,340	
9	Santa Cruz	275,902	1,964	1.70%	7,835	
10	Solano	431,498	1,082	0.93%	8,830	
11	Sonoma	501,959	3,097	2.68%	9,830	
12	Stanislaus	540,214	1,408	1.22%	11,525	
13	Tulare	466,339	636	0.55%	9,205	
14	Yolo	214,555	498	0.43%	6,225	
<b>Total</b>		<b>5,457,972</b>	<b>19,249</b>	<b>16.63%</b>	<b>117,530</b>	
		<b>37,192,987</b>	<b>108,696</b>	<b>93.92%</b>	<b>1,006,170</b>	<b>\$1,560,317,180</b>

## NPLH Formula Allocation Estimates with the Alternative Program

	COUNTY	Pop Est. as of 1/1/2016	*2015 PIT Count	% of PIT	**ELI Severe Renter Cost Burden	Formula Allocation With the 2% default Reserve
<b>Small Counties</b>						
1	Alpine	1,166	0	0.00%	8	<b>134,064,000</b> equals 8% set of the available funds (per WIC Section 5849.8 (c))
2	Amador	37,707	117	0.10%	455	
3	Calaveras	45,207	56	0.05%	605	
4	Colusa	21,948	22	0.02%	194	
5	Del Norte	26,811	86	0.07%	630	
6	El Dorado	183,750	269	0.23%	2,280	
7	Glenn	28,668	80	0.07%	430	
8	Humboldt	135,116	1,180	1.02%	4,315	
9	Imperial	185,831	554	0.48%	4,165	
10	Inyo	18,650	40	0.03%	344	
11	Kings	150,373	226	0.20%	2,860	
12	Lake	64,306	315	0.27%	1,765	
13	Lassen	30,780	26	0.02%	715	
14	Madera	155,349	264	0.23%	2,350	
15	Mariposa	18,159	41	0.04%	270	
16	Mendocino	88,378	947	0.82%	2,350	
17	Modoc	9,638	0	0.00%	225	
18	Mono	13,721	13	0.01%	280	
19	Napa	142,028	293	0.25%	2,515	
20	Nevada	98,095	279	0.24%	1,730	
21	Plumas	19,879	30	0.03%	325	
22	San Benito	56,648	651	0.56%	955	
23	Shasta	178,592	434	0.37%	4,500	
24	Sierra	3,203	15	0.01%	55	
25	Siskiyou	44,739	0	0.00%	1,175	
26	Sutter	97,308	215	0.19%	1,615	
27	Tehama	63,934	138	0.12%	940	
28	Trinity	13,667	34	0.03%	185	
29	Tuolumne	54,900	216	0.19%	1,005	
30	Yuba	74,345	501	0.43%	1,130	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>2,062,896</b>	<b>7,042</b>	<b>6.08%</b>	<b>40,371</b>	
	<b>State Total</b>	<b>39,255,883</b>	<b>115,738</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1,046,541</b>	<b>\$1,694,381,180</b>

NPLH allocation	<b>\$1,800,000,000</b>
NPLH administration	<b>\$90,000,000</b>
NPLH competitive allocation	<b>\$1,694,381,180</b>
Default reserve	<b>\$15,618,820</b>

**Data Source:**

[\\*2015 Point-in-Time Count \(Unsheltered and Sheltered counts\)](#)

[\\*\\*ELI Severe Renter Cost Burden \(HUD CHAS Data\)](#)

## NPLH Formula Allocation Estimates for the Non-Competitive Program

	COUNTY	Pop Est. as of 1/1/2016	2015 PIT Count	Non-Competitive Formula Allocation
<b>Alternative Program</b>				
1	Los Angeles	10,241,335	44,359	\$69,870,183
2	San Diego	3,288,612	8,742	\$13,771,432
3	San Francisco	866,583	6,775	\$10,673,299
4	Santa Clara	1,927,888	6,556	\$10,328,363
Alternative Program		<b>\$16,324,418</b>	<b>66,432</b>	<b>\$104,643,277</b>
<b>Large Counties</b>				
1	Alameda	1,627,865	4,040	\$6,365,524
2	Contra Costa	1,123,429	2,031	\$3,201,240
3	Fresno	984,541	1,458	\$2,298,733
4	Kern	886,507	954	\$1,504,905
5	Orange	3,183,011	4,452	\$7,014,447
6	Riverside	2,347,828	2,372	\$3,738,333
7	Sacramento	1,495,297	2,659	\$4,190,374
8	San Bernardino	2,139,570	2,149	\$3,387,096
9	San Mateo	766,041	1,483	\$2,338,109
10	Ventura	856,508	1,417	\$2,234,156
<b>Large Counties</b>		<b>\$15,410,597</b>	<b>23,015</b>	<b>\$36,272,917</b>
<b>Medium Counties</b>				
1	Butte	224,601	571	\$901,659
2	Marin	262,274	1,318	\$2,078,225
3	Merced	271,579	898	\$1,416,702
4	Monterey	437,178	2,308	\$3,637,529
5	Placer	373,796	517	\$816,606
6	San Joaquin	733,383	1,708	\$2,692,496
7	San Luis Obispo	277,977	1,515	\$2,388,511
8	Santa Barbara	446,717	1,729	\$2,725,573
9	Santa Cruz	275,902	1,964	\$3,095,711
10	Solano	431,498	1,082	\$1,706,512
11	Sonoma	501,959	3,097	\$4,880,247
12	Stanislaus	540,214	1,408	\$2,219,980
13	Tulare	466,339	636	\$1,004,038
14	Yolo	214,555	498	\$786,681
<b>Medium Counties</b>		<b>5,457,972</b>	<b>19,249</b>	<b>\$30,350,470</b>

## NPLH Formula Allocation Estimates for the Non-Competitive Program

	COUNTY	Pop Est. as of 1/1/2016	2015 PIT Count	Non-Competitive Formula Allocation
<b>Small Counties</b>				
1	Alpine	1,166	0	\$500,000
2	Amador	37,707	117	\$500,000
3	Calaveras	45,207	56	\$500,000
4	Colusa	21,948	22	\$500,000
5	Del Norte	26,811	86	\$500,000
6	El Dorado	183,750	269	\$500,000
7	Glenn	28,668	80	\$500,000
8	Humboldt	135,116	1,180	\$1,860,867
9	Imperial	185,831	554	\$874,883
10	Inyo	18,650	40	\$500,000
11	Kings	150,373	226	\$500,000
12	Lake	64,306	315	\$500,000
13	Lassen	30,780	26	\$500,000
14	Madera	155,349	264	\$500,000
15	Mariposa	18,159	41	\$500,000
16	Mendocino	88,378	947	\$1,493,880
17	Modoc	9,638	0	\$500,000
18	Mono	13,721	13	\$500,000
19	Napa	142,028	293	\$500,000
20	Nevada	98,095	279	\$500,000
21	Plumas	19,879	30	\$500,000
22	San Benito	56,648	651	\$1,027,664
23	Shasta	178,592	434	\$684,322
24	Sierra	3,203	15	\$500,000
25	Siskiyou	44,739	0	\$500,000
26	Sutter	97,308	215	\$500,000
27	Tehama	63,934	138	\$500,000
28	Trinity	13,667	34	\$500,000
29	Tuolumne	54,900	216	\$500,000
30	Yuba	74,345	501	\$791,720
	<b>Small counties</b>	<b>2,062,896</b>	<b>7,042</b>	<b>\$18,733,336</b>
	<b>State Total</b>			<b>\$190,000,000</b>

NPLH allocation	<b>\$200,000,000</b>
NPLH administration	<b>\$10,000,000</b>
NPLH remaining distribution	<b>\$190,000,000</b>

**Data Source:**

[2016 DOF Population Estimates](#)  
 \*\*2015 Point-in-Time Count  
 (Unsheltered and Sheltered counts)