

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2007/2008  
Reports/Plans Related to "Excess Surplus" in Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund**

5/14/2009

Exhibit D  
Page 1 of 8

County Agency	Excess Surplus	Beginning Adjusted Unencumbered Balance	Sum of Previous Four Years Tax Increments	Excess Surplus Expenditure Plan	Plan Adoption Date
<b>ALAMEDA COUNTY</b>					
ALAMEDA COUNTY	\$2,487,385	\$9,161,555	\$6,674,170		
BERKELEY	\$774,334	\$2,035,766	\$1,261,432		
HAYWARD	\$1,294,333	\$8,053,288	\$6,758,955	Use of low-mod funds for several affordable housing proposals is presently being evaluated by Agency staff. Recommendations for the use of excess surplus funds and other low-mod funds will be presented to Agency Board/City Council in a work session scheduled for January 20, 2009.	12/16/2008
County Total:	<b>\$4,556,052</b>				
<b>Contra Costa COUNTY</b>					
LAFAYETTE	\$572,059	\$2,332,568	\$1,760,509		
SAN RAMON	\$1,259,479	\$6,623,672	\$5,364,193	No excess surplus. Prior year reporting included non-housing funds. See HCD-C item 1a	
County Total:	<b>\$1,831,538</b>				
<b>FRESNO COUNTY</b>					
KINGSBURG	\$26,884	\$1,026,884	\$390,140		
MENDOTA	\$213,019	\$1,213,019	\$615,213		
ORANGE COVE	\$56,218	\$1,056,218	\$720,846		
SELMA	\$799,215	\$1,799,215	\$946,780		
County Total:	<b>\$1,095,336</b>				
<b>Humboldt COUNTY</b>					
FORTUNA	\$71,920	\$1,071,920	\$944,344		

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2007/2008  
Reports/Plans Related to "Excess Surplus" in Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund**

5/14/2009

Exhibit D  
Page 2 of 8

<b>County Agency</b>	<b>Excess Surplus</b>	<b>Beginning Adjusted Unencumbered Balance</b>	<b>Sum of Previous Four Years Tax Increments</b>	<b>Excess Surplus Expenditure Plan</b>	<b>Plan Adoption Date</b>
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$71,920</b>				
<b>IMPERIAL COUNTY</b>					
CALEXICO	\$688,375	\$4,099,200	\$3,410,825		
EL CENTRO	\$46,546	\$3,190,064	\$3,143,518		
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$734,921</b>				
<b>KERN COUNTY</b>					
SHAFTER	\$514,888	\$1,955,926	\$1,441,038		
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$514,888</b>				
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b>					
AGOURA HILLS	\$915,605	\$3,048,260	\$2,132,655		
ARCADIA	\$141,057	\$3,210,612	\$3,069,555		
AVALON	\$1,909,212	\$5,274,463	\$3,365,251		
BELL	\$133,707	\$3,136,304	\$3,002,597		
CUDAHY	\$301,795	\$2,168,049	\$1,866,254		
DOWNEY	\$1,752,747	\$4,512,865	\$2,760,118		
DUARTE	\$1,914,158	\$7,212,703	\$5,298,545		
INGLEWOOD	\$2,639,937	\$14,314,806	\$11,674,869		
LAKESWOOD	\$1,142,414	\$6,572,139	\$5,429,725	On September 23, 2008 the Lakewood Redevelopment Agency adopted Resolution 2008-11 approving the Excess Surplus Plan. The Excess Surplus Plan projects that there will be no excess surplus funds at the end of FY 2008-2009. The plan is hereby incorporated by reference.	09/23/2008

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2007/2008  
Reports/Plans Related to "Excess Surplus" in Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund**

5/14/2009

Exhibit D  
Page 3 of 8

County Agency	Excess Surplus	Beginning Adjusted Unencumbered Balance	Sum of Previous Four Years Tax Increments	Excess Surplus Expenditure Plan	Plan Adoption Date
LYNWOOD	\$3,700,937	\$7,543,492	\$3,842,555	A settlement with the Lynwood Redevelopment Agency was agreed to by all parties on 3-23-09. Item #12 of the Stipulated Order calls for a third party to render an opinion on the amount of excess surplus (and any penalties) from FY 1996-97 through and including FY0708. For further information refer to the Superior Court of the State of CA, County of Los Angeles, Central District, and Case No. BS106592. Complaint Filed: 12-21-06	
RANCHO PALOS VERDES	\$577,288	\$1,577,288	\$644,044		
REDONDO BEACH	\$190,247	\$2,948,322	\$2,758,075		
ROSEMEAD	\$3,132,442	\$6,058,661	\$2,926,219		
SAN DIMAS	\$3,409,757	\$7,303,690	\$3,893,933		
TEMPLE CITY	\$287,796	\$1,287,796	\$603,468		
TORRANCE	\$2,035,257	\$6,876,180	\$4,840,923	The excess surplus totalling \$556,288 in FY04-05 was used to pay the administrative costs for the Home Improvement Program in FY05-06 in the amount of \$341,050. The remaining excess surplus of \$215,238 was used for the same program in FY06-07 which had a total administrative cost of \$350,417.	

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2007/2008**  
**Reports/Plans Related to "Excess Surplus" in Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund**

5/14/2009

Exhibit D  
Page 4 of 8

County Agency	Excess Surplus	Beginning Adjusted Unencumbered Balance	Sum of Previous Four Years Tax Increments	Excess Surplus Expenditure Plan	Plan Adoption Date
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$24,184,356</b>				
<b>MENDOCINO COUNTY</b>					
FORT BRAGG	\$99,305	\$1,099,305	\$965,239		
County Total:	<b>\$99,305</b>				
<b>MERCED COUNTY</b>					
ATWATER	\$3,132,666	\$4,139,587	\$1,006,921		
County Total:	<b>\$3,132,666</b>				
<b>MONTEREY COUNTY</b>					
GONZALES	\$1,708,500	\$2,708,500	\$761,102		
SOLEDAD	\$1,203,768	\$2,541,282	\$1,337,514		
County Total:	<b>\$2,912,268</b>				
<b>Orange COUNTY</b>					
BUENA PARK	\$829,598	\$14,835,226	\$14,005,628		
FOUNTAIN VALLEY	\$2,878,017	\$10,754,049	\$7,876,032		
LAKE FOREST	\$509,524	\$2,492,382	\$1,982,858		
MISSION VIEJO	\$887,946	\$4,958,257	\$4,070,311		
ORANGE COUNTY	\$964,796	\$18,407,551	\$17,442,755		
PLACENTIA	\$1,737,395	\$3,128,392	\$1,390,997		
SEAL BEACH	\$421,470	\$1,832,513	\$1,411,043		
County Total:	<b>\$8,228,746</b>				
<b>PLACER COUNTY</b>					
LINCOLN	\$2,047,376	\$3,465,747	\$1,418,371		
PLACER COUNTY	\$3,439,989	\$9,012,988	\$5,572,999		
County Total:	<b>\$5,487,365</b>				
<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY</b>					
COACHELLA	\$7,500,981	\$11,853,628	\$4,352,647		

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2007/2008  
Reports/Plans Related to "Excess Surplus" in Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund**

5/14/2009

Exhibit D  
Page 5 of 8

County Agency	Excess Surplus	Beginning Adjusted Unencumbered Balance	Sum of Previous Four Years Tax Increments	Excess Surplus Expenditure Plan	Plan Adoption Date
MURRIETA	\$1,466,769	\$5,013,453	\$3,546,684	Please note excess surplus reported in FY 06/07 was incorrect. The Agency inadvertently omitted unspent debt proceeds of \$743,411 from line 10b(1). The adjusted excess surplus for the FY 06/07 reporting period should have been \$723,358. The Murrieta Redevelopment Agency is in the process of acquiring property and implementing programs to address the excess surplus.	
PERRIS	\$6,316,386	\$11,558,920	\$5,242,534		
SAN JACINTO	\$2,430,443	\$5,408,584	\$2,978,141		
County Total:	<b>\$17,714,579</b>				
<b>SACRAMENTO COUNTY</b>					
CITRUS HEIGHTS	\$132,618	\$1,461,290	\$1,328,672		
County Total:	<b>\$132,618</b>				
<b>SAN BENITO COUNTY</b>					
HOLLISTER	\$1,751,156	\$10,919,635	\$9,168,479		
County Total:	<b>\$1,751,156</b>				
<b>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY</b>					
APPLE VALLEY	\$68,557	\$1,893,030	\$1,824,473		
GRAND TERRACE	\$3,805,701	\$8,023,097	\$4,217,396		
HIGHLAND	\$2,051,688	\$6,834,850	\$4,783,162		
MONTCLAIR	\$624,135	\$7,926,793	\$7,302,658		
UPLAND	\$2,097,935	\$7,101,032	\$5,003,097		
VICTOR VALLEY	\$2,042,112	\$10,743,405	\$8,701,293		

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2007/2008  
Reports/Plans Related to "Excess Surplus" in Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund**

5/14/2009

Exhibit D  
Page 6 of 8

County Agency	Excess Surplus	Beginning Adjusted Unencumbered Balance	Sum of Previous Four Years Tax Increments	Excess Surplus Expenditure Plan	Plan Adoption Date
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$10,690,128</b>				
<b>SAN DIEGO COUNTY</b>					
CARLSBAD	\$478,653	\$2,926,456	\$2,447,803		
LEMON GROVE	\$4,338,491	\$6,065,892	\$1,727,401		
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$4,817,144</b>				
<b>SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY</b>					
RIPON	\$2,045,347	\$5,100,079	\$3,054,732		
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$2,045,347</b>				
<b>SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY</b>					
ARROYO GRANDE	\$1,588,528	\$2,588,528	\$630,326		
ATASCADERO	\$20,071	\$2,088,821	\$2,068,750		
GROVER BEACH	\$273,314	\$1,273,314	\$564,531		
PISMO BEACH	\$780,254	\$1,780,254	\$619,623	Resolution #RDA-2007-005 appropriating \$1,500,000.00 of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Funds for the development of Low to Moderate Income Housing Programs. \$800,000 for a Home Improvement program and \$600,000 for a First Time Homebuyer program and \$100,000 to administer both programs. Committed and approved November 6, 2007. Activities began in July 2009.	11/06/2007
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$2,662,167</b>				
<b>SAN MATEO COUNTY</b>					

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2007/2008**  
**Reports/Plans Related to "Excess Surplus" in Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund**

5/14/2009

Exhibit D  
Page 7 of 8

County Agency	Excess Surplus	Beginning Adjusted Unencumbered Balance	Sum of Previous Four Years Tax Increments	Excess Surplus Expenditure Plan	Plan Adoption Date
<b>BRISBANE</b>	\$858,260	\$4,110,489	\$3,252,229	Acquire housing site(s) and provide first time homebuyer loans.	
<b>MILLBRAE</b>	\$600,669	\$2,940,544	\$2,339,875		
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$1,458,929</b>				
<b>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY</b>					
<b>GOLETA</b>	\$525,802	\$1,888,506	\$1,362,704		
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$525,802</b>				
<b>Santa Clara COUNTY</b>					
<b>LOS GATOS</b>	\$1,795,145	\$6,556,978	\$4,761,833		
<b>MOUNTAIN VIEW</b>	\$6,669,000	\$9,544,000	\$2,875,000		
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$8,464,145</b>				
<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b>					
<b>SCOTTS VALLEY</b>	\$2,027,715	\$5,637,306	\$3,609,591		
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$2,027,715</b>				
<b>SOLANO COUNTY</b>					
<b>DIXON</b>	\$816,235	\$2,266,377	\$1,450,142		
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$816,235</b>				
<b>SONOMA COUNTY</b>					
<b>COTATI</b>	\$34,039	\$2,497,589	\$2,463,550		
<b>WINDSOR/SONOMA</b>	\$1,157,790	\$3,294,273	\$2,136,483	N/A	
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$1,191,829</b>				
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>					
<b>CERES</b>	\$1,357,504	\$5,045,050	\$3,687,546		
<b>MODESTO</b>	\$1,887,042	\$5,388,260	\$3,501,218		
<b>County Total:</b>	<b>\$3,244,546</b>				

**California Redevelopment Agencies - Fiscal Year 2007/2008**  
**Reports/Plans Related to "Excess Surplus" in Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund**

5/14/2009

Exhibit D  
Page 8 of 8

County Agency	Excess Surplus	Beginning Adjusted Unencumbered Balance	Sum of Previous Four Years Tax Increments	Excess Surplus Expenditure Plan	Plan Adoption Date
<b>SUTTER COUNTY</b>					
YUBA CITY	\$243,964	\$2,689,766	\$2,445,802		
County Total:	<b>\$243,964</b>				
<b>TULARE COUNTY</b>					
LINDSAY	\$1,464,543	\$2,464,543	\$742,402		
VISALIA	\$440,485	\$5,248,828	\$4,808,343		
County Total:	<b>\$1,905,028</b>				
<b>VENTURA COUNTY</b>					
CAMARILLO	\$9,326,487	\$12,387,613	\$3,061,126		
OJAI	\$177,497	\$1,177,497	\$940,398		
County Total:	<b>\$9,503,984</b>				
<b>YOLO COUNTY</b>					
DAVIS	\$15,490,674	\$21,747,460	\$6,256,786		
WOODLAND	\$70,583	\$1,070,583	\$667,228		
County Total:	<b>\$15,561,257</b>				
Statewide Total:	<b>\$137,605,934</b>				

Total Agencies Contributing to this Report: 79