

03/31/2011

**California Redevelopment Agencies  
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS  
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

**Exhibit G  
Page 1 of 8**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1) -----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2) -----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART III -----TOTALS-----	
	1. New	2. Sub.	3. Sum	4. Incl Ob	5. Very-Low	6. New	7. Sub.	8. Sum	9. Incl. Ob.	10.VLow	11. Sum	12. VLow
	Units	Rehab	#1+#2	#3 x 30%	#4 x 50%	Units	Rehab.	#6+#7	#8 x 15%	#9x 40%	#4+#9*	#5+#10
<b>ALAMEDA COUNTY</b>												
ALAMEDA CITY						43		43	6	3	6	3
FREMONT												
HAYWARD												
OAKLAND						233		233	35	14	35	14
SAN LEANDRO						51		51	8	3	8	3
UNION CITY						31		31	5	2	5	2
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>358</b>		<b>358</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>BUTTE COUNTY</b>												
CHICO						31		31	5	2	5	2
OROVILLE												
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>31</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>CONTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>												
PITTSBURG						132		132	20	8	20	8
RICHMOND												
SAN PABLO						3		3	0	0	0	0
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>135</b>		<b>135</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>FRESNO COUNTY</b>												
FIREBAUGH						10		10	2	1	2	1
FRESNO CITY												
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>10</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>HUMBOLDT COUNTY</b>												
ARCATA						12		12	2	1	2	1
EUREKA	4		4	1	1						1	1
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>4</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>		<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>KERN COUNTY</b>												
BAKERSFIELD						3		3	0	0	0	0

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

03/31/2011

**California Redevelopment Agencies  
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS  
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

**Exhibit G  
Page 2 of 8**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1) AGENCY DEVELOPED					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2) NONAGENCY DEVELOPED					PART III TOTALS	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10.VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
	<b>CALIFORNIA CITY</b>	6		6	2	1						2
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>6</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>KINGS COUNTY LEMOORE</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>												
<b>LAKE COUNTY LAKE COUNTY</b>						22		22	3	1	3	1
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>22</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY AVALON</b>												
<b>AZUSA</b>												
<b>BELLFLOWER</b>	6		6	2	1						2	1
<b>CULVER CITY</b>												
<b>GLENDALE</b>						92		92	14	6	14	6
<b>HUNTINGTON PARK</b>		10	10	3	2						3	2
<b>LA MIRADA</b>												
<b>LANCASTER</b>						40		40	6	2	6	2
<b>LONG BEACH</b>						65		65	10	4	10	4
<b>LOS ANGELES CITY</b>	228	285	513	154	77	416		416	62	25	216	102
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY</b>						69		69	10	4	10	4
<b>LYNWOOD</b>							16	16	2	1	2	1
<b>MONROVIA</b>						4		4	1	0	1	0
<b>PALMDALE</b>						78		78	12	5	12	5
<b>POMONA</b>	1		1	0	0						0	0
<b>SANTA MONICA</b>						20		20	3	1	3	1
<b>SOUTH EL MONTE</b>						1		1	0	0	0	0

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					PART III TOTALS	
	AGENCY DEVELOPED					NONAGENCY DEVELOPED					TOTALS	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
WEST COVINA												
WEST HOLLYWOOD						42		42	6	3	6	3
WHITTIER						8	3	11	2	1	2	1
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>835</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>854</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>131</b>
MADERA COUNTY												
CHOWCHILLA												
MADERA CITY	9	1	10	3	2						3	2
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>						<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>
MERCED COUNTY												
LOS BANOS						80		80	12	5	12	5
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>80</b>		<b>80</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>
MONTEREY COUNTY												
MONTEREY CITY						36		36	5	2	5	2
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>36</b>		<b>36</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>
NEVADA COUNTY												
GRASS VALLEY						2		2	0	0	0	0
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>2</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
ORANGE COUNTY												
ANAHEIM						258		258	39	15	39	15
BUENA PARK												
CYPRESS												
GARDEN GROVE												
HUNTINGTON BEACH												
SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO						38		38	6	2	6	2
SANTA ANA												
STANTON												

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

03/31/2011

**California Redevelopment Agencies  
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS  
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

**Exhibit G  
Page 4 of 8**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1) -----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2) -----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					PART III -----TOTALS-----	
	1. New	2. Sub.	3. Sum	4. Incl Ob	5. Very-Low	6. New	7. Sub.	8. Sum	9. Incl. Ob.	10.VLow	11. Sum	12. VLow
	Units	Rehab	#1+#2	#3 x 30%	#4 x 50%	Units	Rehab.	#6+#7	#8 x 15%	#9x 40%	#4+#9*	#5+#10
TUSTIN						18		18	3	1	3	1
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>314</b>		<b>314</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>19</b>
PLACER COUNTY												
PLACER COUNTY												
ROCKLIN												
ROSEVILLE						7		7	1	0	1	0
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>7</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>
RIVERSIDE COUNTY												
LA QUINTA						304		304	46	18	46	18
MORENO VALLEY	189		189	57	28						57	28
PALM DESERT												
RANCHO MIRAGE												
RIVERSIDE CITY	75	7	82	25	12						25	12
RIVERSIDE COUNTY	2		2	1	0						1	0
TEMECULA						46		46	7	3	7	3
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>273</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>350</b>		<b>350</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>62</b>
SACRAMENTO COUNTY												
SACRAMENTO CITY												
SACRAMENTO COUNTY												
<b>County Totals:</b>												
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY												
BARSTOW												
CHINO						61		61	9	4	9	4
GRAND TERRACE						1		1	0	0	0	0
HESPERIA						89		89	13	5	13	5
MONTCLAIR		1	1	0	0	84		84	13	5	13	5

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					PART III TOTALS	
	AGENCY DEVELOPED					NONAGENCY DEVELOPED					TOTALS	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
ONTARIO						75		75	11	5	11	5
RANCHO CUCAMONGA	2		2	1	0					1	0	
RIALTO												
SAN BERNARDINO CITY						9		9	1	1	1	1
VICTORVILLE						4		4	1	0	1	0
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>323</b>		<b>323</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>20</b>
SAN DIEGO COUNTY												
CORONADO						11		11	2	1	2	1
IMPERIAL BEACH		15	15	5	2						5	2
LA MESA						80		80	12	5	12	5
NATIONAL CITY	3		3	1	0					1	0	
SAN DIEGO CITY						434		434	65	26	65	26
SAN MARCOS	100		100	30	15					30	15	
SANTEE												
VISTA												
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>525</b>		<b>525</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>49</b>
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY												
SAN FRANCISCO	149		149	45	22	280		280	42	17	87	39
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>149</b>		<b>149</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>280</b>		<b>280</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>39</b>
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY												
MANTECA												
STOCKTON	65		65	20	10						20	10
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>65</b>		<b>65</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>						<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY												
PASO ROBLES												
<b>County Totals:</b>												
SAN MATEO COUNTY												

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

**California Redevelopment Agencies**  
**INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS**  
**PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					PART III TOTALS	
	AGENCY DEVELOPED					NONAGENCY DEVELOPED					TOTALS	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
<b>BELMONT</b>												
<b>DALY CITY</b>						72		72	11	4	11	4
<b>MILLBRAE</b>												
<b>SAN BRUNO</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>72</b>		<b>72</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY</b>												
<b>GUADALUPE</b>												
<b>LOMPOC</b>						39		39	6	2	6	2
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>39</b>		<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>SANTA CLARA COUNTY</b>												
<b>CAMPBELL</b>						19		19	3	1	3	1
<b>MILPITAS</b>						63		63	9	4	9	4
<b>MORGAN HILL</b>						70		70	11	4	11	4
<b>SAN JOSE</b>						84		84	13	5	13	5
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>236</b>		<b>236</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>SANTA CRUZ COUNTY</b>												
<b>CAPITOLA</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>												
<b>SHASTA COUNTY</b>												
<b>REDDING</b>						27		27	4	2	4	2
<b>SHASTA LAKE</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>27</b>		<b>27</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>SOLANO COUNTY</b>												
<b>DIXON</b>												
<b>FAIRFIELD</b>						31		31	5	2	5	2
<b>VACAVILLE</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>31</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

03/31/2011

**California Redevelopment Agencies  
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS  
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

**Exhibit G  
Page 7 of 8**

	PART I [H&SC Section 33413(b)(1) AGENCY DEVELOPED					PART II [H&SC Section 33413(b)(2) NONAGENCY DEVELOPED					PART III TOTALS	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10.VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
	<b>SONOMA COUNTY</b>											
HEALDSBURG	7		7	2	1	64		64	10	4	12	5
<b>SONOMA CITY</b>												
<b>SONOMA COUNTY</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>7</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>64</b>		<b>64</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>												
MODESTO						135		135	20	8	20	8
RIVERBANK	65		65	20	10						20	10
<b>STANISLAUS COUNTY</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>65</b>		<b>65</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>135</b>		<b>135</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>TULARE COUNTY</b>												
DINUBA	1		1	0	0						0	0
TULARE COUNTY		2	2	1	0	2		2	0	0	1	0
VISALIA	1		1	0	0						0	0
<b>WOODLAKE</b>												
<b>County Totals:</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Ventura COUNTY</b>												
FILLMORE						29		29	4	2	4	2
PORT HUENEME						11		11	2	1	2	1
SAN BUENAVENTURA						68		68	10	4	10	4
SANTA PAULA						2		2	0	0	0	0
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>110</b>		<b>110</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>YOLO COUNTY</b>												
WEST SACRAMENTO						62		62	9	4	9	4
WINTERS						73		73	11	4	11	4
<b>County Totals:</b>						<b>135</b>		<b>135</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>8</b>

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

03/31/2011

**California Redevelopment Agencies  
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS  
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**

**Exhibit G  
Page 8 of 8**

	PART I					PART II					PART III	
	[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					TOTALS	
	-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					-----	
1. New	2. Sub.	3. Sum	4. Incl Ob	5. Very-Low	6. New	7. Sub.	8. Sum	9. Incl. Ob.	10. VLow	11. Sum	12. VLow	
Units	Rehab	#1+#2	#3 x 30%	#4 x 50%	Units	Rehab.	#6+#7	#8 x 15%	#9x 40%	#4+#9*	#5+#10	
<b>Total Agencies Contributing to this Report: 120</b>	<b>913</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>1,234</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>4,174</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4,193</b>	<b>629</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>999</b>	<b>437</b>

**NOTES**

- \* Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- \* Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- \* Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- \* Part III #12 is a subset of #11.