HCD Environmental Contact

Janice Waddell
Section Chief, Grants Management

Janice.waddell@hcd.ca.gov
(916) 263-1466
Topics of Discussion

- Overview of NEPA and related laws
- Role of the “Responsible Entity”
- Limitations Pending Clearance
- Levels of Review
- Environmental Review Process
- Tiered Reviews
- Documentation/ Recordkeeping
NEPA and Related Laws and Authorities
Why Environmental Review?

• Protect health and safety, avoid or mitigate impacts that may harm clients
• Avoid or mitigate any harm to the surrounding environment and project site
• Avoid litigation that could stop project on environmental grounds
• Avoid monitoring findings and/or loss of HUD financial assistance to your project
• And....
National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

• Our founding national charter for protection of the environment

• Requires that environmental values be given appropriate consideration in decision-making

• Ensures that environmental information is available to public officials and citizens BEFORE decisions are made and BEFORE actions are taken
Applicability of NEPA

- All proposals for Federal actions that have the potential to affect the quality of the human environment
- No “trigger level” - all levels of Federal funding and assistance are covered, including small grants and loans, loan guarantees, permitting...
- The implementation of NEPA is referred to as the “environmental review”.
- The HUD rules and regulations that govern the environmental review process can be found at 24 CFR Part 58.
NEPA-Related Laws/Authorities

- National Historic Preservation Act (1966)
- Floodplain Management & Wetlands Protection: Executive Orders (1977)
- Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972
- Safe Drinking Water Act (1974)
- Endangered Species Act (1973)
- Wild & Scenic Rivers Act (1968)
NEPA-Related Laws/Authorities

- Clean Air Act (1970)
- HUD Environmental Criteria & Standards
  - Noise Abatement and Control
  - Near Explosives or Flammable Sites
  - Near Airport Runway Protection Zones
  - Near Toxic Hazards
- Environmental Justice E.O. (1994)
- Noise Control Act (1972)
CEQA

- CEQA, or the California Environmental Quality Act, is a statute that requires state and local agencies to identify the significant environmental impacts of their actions and to avoid or mitigate those impacts, if feasible.
- Applies to activities defined by CEQA as projects and undertaken by a public agency or a private activity which must receive some discretionary approval.
- HCD reviews NEPA and verifies completion of CEQA.
HUD’s Implementing Regulations
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Questions
Duties of the Responsible Entity
Sec. 104 of the HCDA allows local units of government to perform NEPA responsibilities that would otherwise apply to HUD.

Certifying Officer

• 24 CFR Part Section 58.2 (a) (2) Certifying Officer means the official who is authorized to execute the Request for Release of Funds and Certification and has the LEGAL CAPACITY TO CARRY OUT THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF 58.13.

• Section 58.13 - ... a responsible entity's certifying officer is the "responsible federal official" as that term is used in section 102 of NEPA, 40 CFR Part 1500-1508, and 24 CFR Part 58.

• The Certifying Officer accepts the jurisdiction of the Federal Courts for the responsible entity in environmental matters for this certification.

• Others may ASSIST, but local government CANNOT DELEGATE this responsibility to another entity or consultant
Possibilities

Possibility
Possibility
Possibility
Possibility
Possibility
Possibility
Choice-Limiting Actions!

• Cannot commit HUD or non-HUD funds to a proposed project prior to completing ER process and release of funds authorization from HCD
• Must oversee actions of sub-recipient, developers, and beneficiaries
• Choice-limiting actions will reduce or eliminate your opportunity to choose alternatives. Examples: property acquisition, leasing, demolition, rehabilitation, construction, and site improvements (including site clearance)
Choice Limiting Actions! (cont.)

Examples:

- Acquisition of land & structures
- Demolition
- Construction Bidding
- New Construction
- Rehabilitation
- Leasing
- Site Improvements
Questions
Define the Project

• What is the scope?
• What Activities will be included?
• What is the location? Get maps!

  ✓ Planning Area Map
  ✓ Wetlands Map
  ✓ Floodplain Map
  ✓ USDA-SCS Soil Survey Map & Report
  ✓ Farmland Conservation Map
  ✓ Historical Districts Map – GIS
  ✓ NEPAssist: https://www.epa.gov/nepa/nepassist
Define the Project

Evaluate as a single project all individual activities that are related either on a geographical or functional basis or are logical parts of a composite of contemplated actions.

Must include both HUD and non-HUD funds.

In projects with multiple activities, the Environmental Review must be completed utilizing the highest level of review relative to the activities included in the project.
Consider the Impacts of the Project

➢ Project on the Environment
Endangered Species, wetlands, historic properties, air and water quality...

➢ Environment on the Project
Toxic contamination, radon, explosive operations, noise impacts, flooding, airport hazards...

☐ Adverse ☐ No Effect ☐ Beneficial
Environmental Considerations

- Cumulative Impact
- Consideration of Alternatives
- No Action Alternative
Consideration of Alternatives

- Commitment of HUD or non-HUD funds prior to approval of the environmental review inherently diminishes and biases objective consideration of alternative locations for the proposed project (including a no action alternative).

- HUD believes that the consideration of alternatives is fundamental to the environmental review process. Consideration of alternatives is the “heart of NEPA.”
Levels of ER Review
Identify the Level of Review

Four levels of review:

• Exempt: 24 CFR Part 58.34(a)
• Categorically Excluded: 24 CFR Part 58.35
  - Categorical exclusions NOT SUBJECT to (CENST) Section 58.5
  - Categorical exclusions SUBJECT to (CEST) Section 58.5
• Environmental Assessment (EA): 24 CFR Part 58.36
• Environmental Impact Statement (EIS): 24 CFR Part 58.37
Exempt Activities

- Activities which are deemed not to affect the human and/or physical environment (i.e. environmental studies, planning, or administrative activities)

- No publication requirements

- Even Exempt activities require environmental review and documentation before proceeding

- Defined at §58.34(a); Ex: admin, planning, studies, inspections
Exempt Activities (cont)

Exempt activities set forth at 24 CFR 58.34 deal mainly with actions that do not have a physical component. Generally planning activities and services and include:

- Environmental, planning & design costs
- Information & financial services
- Administrative & management activities
- Public services (no physical impact)
- Inspections
- Purchase of tools & insurance
- Technical assistance & training
- Payment of principal and interest
- Most types of temporary assistance for disaster or imminent threats
- Engineering or design costs
Process for Exempt/CENST Activities

• Complete the “Environmental Review for Exempt/Categorically Excluded Not Subject To Activities” form (https://files.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/Part-58-Exempt-CENST.docx)

• Form includes short checklist for §58.6 criteria:
  ✓ National Flood Insurance Program (CDBG exception)
  ✓ Coastal Barrier Resources Act
  ✓ Airport Runway Clear Zones

• CO must sign the certification and send to HCD.
• ER Complete – Commence Activities
Environmental Review
for Activity/Project that is Exempt or
Categorically Excluded Not Subject to Section 58.5
Pursuant to 24 CFR Part 58.34(a) and 58.35(b)

Project Information

Project Name:

Responsible Entity:

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):  

State/Local Identifier:

Preparer:

Certifying Officer Name and Title:

Consultant (if applicable):

Project Location:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.23]:
Categorically Excluded

• Activities “excluded from NEPA requirements” but may be subject to other Federal laws and authorities outlined in Section 58.5

• 2 Classes:
  ✓ Categorically Excluded NOT SUBJECT TO (CENST) §58.5 – See §58.35(b)
  ✓ Categorically Excluded SUBJECT TO (CEST) §58.5 – See §58.35(a)
Categorically Excluded Not Subject

Activities listed at 58.35(b) as Categorically Excluded from NEPA and Not Subject to Related Laws and Authorities

- Tenant-based rental assistance
- Supportive services (healthcare, housing placement)
- Operating costs (utilities, supplies)
- Economic development costs (not associated with construction or expansion)
- Some types of homebuyer assistance
- Affordable housing pre-development costs
- Maintenance
Environmental Review
for Activity/Project that is Exempt or
Categorically Excluded Not Subject to Section 58.5
Pursuant to 24 CFR Part 58.34(a) and 58.35(b)

Project Information

Project Name:

Responsible Entity:

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

State/Local Identifier:

Preparer:

Certifying Officer Name and Title:

Consultant (if applicable):

Project Location:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.23]:
Categorically Excluded Subject To

Activities listed at 58.35(a) as Categorically Excluded from NEPA but Subject to Related Laws and Authorities

- Acquisition, leasing, or disposition of vacant land or existing buildings with no change in land use and includes project based rental assistance
- Acquisition or leasing of public facilities with no change in land use
- Removal of barriers that restrict mobility and accessibility to elderly and handicapped
- Rehabilitation, repair, and improvement of buildings as described at 24 CFR Part 58.35(a)(i)-iii
- Individual actions on single family or scattered sites
Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5
Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

Project Information

Project Name:

Responsible Entity:

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

State/Local Identifier:

Preparer:

Certifying Officer Name and Title:

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Consultant (if applicable):

Direct Comments to:

Project Location:
Process for Categorically Excluded Subject to (CEST)

• Complete “Environmental Review for Categorically Excluded Subject to §58.5” form

• Form includes:
  ü Detailed Project Description
  ü Checklist for §58.6 criteria
  ü Checklist for §58.5 criteria
Process for CEST (cont.)

• If activities occur in floodplain or wetlands, conduct 8-step decision making process.

• Determine whether activities can Convert to Exempt status. If able to convert:
  ✓ CO must sign the CEST certification and send to HCD
  ✓ ER Complete for Converted CEST – Commence Activities

• Publish NOI/RROF and respond to public comments
  • Published = 7 day public comment
  • Posted = 10 day public comment
Process for CEST (cont.)

• Address all comments within 30 days
• CO must sign the CEST certification and send to HCD
• Submit the Request for Release of Funds
• WAIT for HCD 15-day comment period and return of Authority to Use Grant Funds (HUD Form 7015.16)
• ER Complete for CEST – Commence Activities
Questions
Tiered Reviews

- Appropriate when evaluating a proposal at the early stages of development or when site-specific analysis is not yet feasible.
- Generally, used for housing rehab programs only
- Tier 1 – Broad Review: Address all laws and authorities possible and establish a plan (narrative) for the site-specific or subsequent review. Publish public notice (NOI/RROF) and submit RROF
- Tier 2 – Site-specific Review: No public notice or RROF required unless unanticipated impacts or impacts not adequately addressed in prior review. (Use CEST form)
Tiered Reviews

Broad-Level Tiered Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR Part 58.35(a)

Project Information

Project Name:

Responsible Entity (RE):

State/Local Identifier:

RE Preparer:

Certifying Officer:

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicable):

Point of Contact:

Project Location:

Additional Location Information:

Direct Comments to:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:
• Tiered Environmental Reviews Resources
  https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/environmental-review/tiered-environmental-reviews/
• Tiered Review Form
• Sample Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds (Tiered Reviews)
• Tiered Environmental Review Webinar Slides
• YouTube Webinar “HEROS Tiered Environmental Reviews Webinar”
  https://www.bing.com/videos/search?q=hud+tiered+environmental+review+you+tube&view=detail&mid=3D344FF2E5C97415E2AB3D344FF2E5C97415E2AB&FORM=VIRE
Environmental Review Tips

- Complete and Clear Project Description including information shown below:
  - The broad-level review must define a protocol for how compliance will be achieved at the site-specific level. This protocol should not merely state that the factor will be addressed in the site-specific review; rather, the broad review must define a strategy including procedures to be followed to determine compliance, mitigate impacts where possible, and dismiss sites that cannot be made compliant.

- **Project Description**
  - Types of Activities
  - All proposed funding sources
  - Maximum number of units
  - Average cost per unit
  - Clearly defined geographic area (e.g. neighborhood or block group)
  - Length of time considered by the review (Cannot exceed 5 years)

- **Factors to be evaluated in Site-Specific Reviews** (use CEST form)

- Both the Broad-level Tiered Review and the Site-Specific Reviews need to be filed in the Environmental Review Record.
Questions
Environmental Assessment

An Environmental Assessment is required if the project activities are not determined Exempt or Categorically Excluded – 24 CFR 58.36
Environmental Assessment
Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects
24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name:
Responsible Entity:
Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):
State/Local Identifier:
Preparer:
Certifying Officer Name and Title:
Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):
Consultant (if applicable):
Direct Comments to:
Process for Environmental Assessment (EA)


• Form includes:
  ✓ Detailed Project Description
  ✓ Checklist for §58.6 criteria
  ✓ Statutory Checklist
  ✓ EA Checklist
Process for EA (cont.)

• If activities occur in **floodplain or wetlands**, conduct 8-step decision making process and publish required notices.

• Publish Combined Notice (FONSI and NOI/RROF) and allow 15-day or 18-day comment period. Consider and respond to comments. Can publish Combined Notice and Final Floodplain concurrently.

• CO must sign the EA certification

• Submit the Request for Release of Funds along with copy of the Notices to HCD
Process for EA (cont.)

• WAIT for HCD 15-day comment period and return of Authority to Use Grant Funds (HUD Form 7015.16)

• ER Complete for EA – Commence Activities
Environmental Impact Statement

- An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is required when the RE determines that the project will have a potentially significant impact on the physical/human environment.

- This determination often results during the scoping process or environmental responses collected from the applicable contacting agencies as well as interested and affected parties.

STOP! Call HCD before going any further if you determine you might have an EIS.
Process for Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)

• In the event the environmental assessment reveals that an EIS may be required, contact HCD Compliance Officer to discuss possible means of mitigation and/or project modifications.

• Regulatory provisions governing an EIS are found at:
  ✓ Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508)
  ✓ HUD (24 CFR § 58 Subpart G)
Re-evaluation of ERR (§ 58.47)

• Required when new activities are added, unexpected conditions arise, additional years funding is added, or substantial changes are made to nature, magnitude or extent of project

• If original finding is still valid:
  – Re-evaluation submitted to HCD for approval.

• Original finding is no longer valid or project has significantly changed:
  – RE must prepare a new review and proceed with approval process (RROF)
Environmental Review Record

• Written record of review
• Must be available for public inspection
• May contain the following:
  ✓ description of project and each activity
  ✓ maps
  ✓ photographs
  ✓ site plans
  ✓ correspondence
  ✓ studies
Environmental Review Record (cont.)

- public notices
- written determinations or findings as evidence of review, decision making and action
- RROF/Certification, Release of Funds
- copies of comments and RE’s responses

DO NOT APPROACH ARBITRARILY

- Be thorough
- Be responsible
- Be thoughtful
Recordkeeping

- Documentation of the environmental review should be maintained in the ERR file for each activity.
- The ERR files are part of the entire grant file and must be maintained for the life of the grant and until HCD informs the grantee that the grant files may be destroyed.
- This record must be available for public review.
- Tabbed Binder or organized digital record.
Supporting Documentation

- Field Observations (include photographs)
- Interviews (must include name/title/date)
- Printed Materials
  - Letters, emails, phone log
  - Plans, maps
  - Aerial photos
  - Reports, studies, analyses
  - Web-based materials (not just the URL)
  - Photographs
Common Issues in Environmental Reviews

• Publication Dates too short
• Project Description lacks detail
• Inconclusive Compliance/Mitigation Documentation
• Mitigation Measures not included in FONSI
Timing & Counting Days

• Counting starts the day **AFTER** publication

• Last day of comment period falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Holiday, RE must accept comment through the following business day

• RE may extend comment period at their discretion

• RROF may be signed and submitted the day **AFTER** last day of comment period
# Timing & Counting Days (cont.)

## September 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sunday</th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
<th>Friday</th>
<th>Saturday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Publication Date**: September 8, 2019
- **NOI/RROF Comment Over**: September 15, 2019
- **RE Signs/Submits RROF to DCED**: September 18, 2019
- **COMBINED NOTICE Comment Over**: September 25, 2019
- **RE Signs/Submits RROF to DCED**: September 26, 2019
### Project Descriptions

#### Part 1. Program Title(s) and Request for Release of Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Title(s)</th>
<th>HUD/State Identification Number</th>
<th>Recipient Identification Number (optional)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4. CDBG Catalog Number(s)**

**5. Name and address of responsible entity**
- Town of Anytown
- 100 Main Street
- Anytown, CA 90001

**6. For information about this request, contact (name & phone number)**
- John Doe (916)233-5000

**7. Name and address of recipient (if different than responsible entity)**

**8. HUD or State Agency and office unit to receive request**
- HCD
- 2020 West El Camino
- Sacramento, CA 90001

**The recipient(s) of assistance under the program(s) listed above requests the release of funds and removal of environmental grant conditions governing the use of the assistance for the following:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Activity/Project Name(s)</th>
<th>Location (Street address, city, county, State)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Improvements</td>
<td>Anytown, CA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**11. Program Activity/Project Description**
- Stormwater Improvements
### Project Descriptions

**Part 1. Program Description and Request for Release of Funds (to be completed by Responsible Entity)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Program Title(s)</th>
<th>2. HUD/State Identification Number</th>
<th>3. Recipient Identification Number (optional)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State and Small Cities CDBG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. OFD Catalog Number(s)</th>
<th>5. Name and address of responsible entity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Town of Anytown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 Main Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anytown, CA 90001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. For information about this request, contact (name &amp; phone number)</th>
<th>7. Name and address of recipient (different than responsible entity)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Doe (916) 233-5000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8. HUD or State Agency and office unit to receive request</th>
<th>9. Location (Street address, city, county, State)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCD</td>
<td>Anytown, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 West El Camino, Sacramento, CA 90001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The recipient(s) of assistance under the program(s) listed above requests the release of funds and removal of environmental grant conditions governing the use of the assistance for the following**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10. Program Activity(es)/Project Name(s)</th>
<th>11. Program Activity/Project Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Improvements Project</td>
<td>The project will consist of the repair/surfacing of the existing asphalt roadway and the installation of a storm water control system. The storm water system will include excavation of the existing road surface to prepare for the installation of 840 ft. of 16&quot; storm sewer pipes along with 6 catch basins. The captured storm water will be directed to a rock energy dissipater and level spreader system. The water directed to these structures will be collected by a storm gratepipe at the lower end of the street. The drainage will be supported by a new concrete wall. After completion of the storm water control system, the existing street’s surface will be milled 2” to 3.5” in depth. The “soft areas” will be repaired with compacted street milling material. The street will then be repaved with a 2” binder course and a 1.6” wearing course. Wedge curbs will also be constructed along the length of the roadway.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOOD**
Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:
The Beaumont Apartments project ("the Project") consists of the gut rehabilitation of the historic Beaumont Telephone Exchange Building into 73 residential units encompassing a gross building area of approximately 98,000 square feet. Currently the subject property located at 2650 Locust Street has a usable land area of 33,675 SF in a roughly rectangular shape located on a corner. The proposed redevelopment of the building will consist of 73 residential units with an average unit size of 886 square feet, of which 13 residential units will be live/work units, 5,032 square feet of commercial space, 2,100 square feet of shared common area between the live/work tenants and commercial tenants, and 5,162 square feet of co-working artist/maker space comprised of eight artist/maker cubicles and a gallery. There is a total of 12,294 square feet of commercial space, which represents 15.97% of the total rentable area. The units will feature a full kitchen appliance package, an in-unit washer/dryer, and above average finishes. Complex amenities will include an on-site leasing office and staff, security cameras, and a resident lounge. Also, there will be a courtyard off of the lobby with a walking path and an outdoor sitting area available to the tenants as well as an urban roof garden with tenant access and seating. Additional amenities include a game room, additional storage, a rooftop terrace with a shipping container pool and gardens, three guest rooms for visitors, and limited office amenities for the live/work and artist/maker space. In addition to the 57-space adjacent two-story parking garage, residents will also have access to 16 leased parking spaces in a surface lot approximately one block to the west. Currently the subject has a site area of 0.8 acres, or 33,675 square feet, but the property will be expanded an additional 10 feet eastwardly upon demolition of the enclosed walkway and tunnel connecting the subject to the adjacent building to the south. The site area is estimated to be 0.80 acres, or 35,023 square feet, at completion of the renovation.
## Documenting Compliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 and 58.6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Airport Hazards**  
24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D | Yes | No |
| **Coastal Barrier Resources**  
Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990  
[16 USC 3501] | Yes | No |
| **Flood Insurance**  
[42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a] | Yes | No |
| **STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 & 58.5** |
| **Clean Air**  
Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 | Yes | No |
| **Coastal Zone Management**  
Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d) | Yes | No |

N/A = Not Acceptable...  
Not Allowed...  
Not Appropriate
## Compliance Documentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Hazards</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. The subject site is located over 5 miles from the nearest civilian airport (St. Louis Downtown Airport) and approximately 20 miles to the nearest military airport (Scott Air Force Base). Maps showing the subject site and nearest airports are attached.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Barrier Resources Act</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Endangered Species Act

**Endangered Species Act**
Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402

| □ Yes | ☑ No | ECS conducted a search of the Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries Fish and Wildlife Information Service (VAFWIS) threatened and endangered species database to evaluate documented occurrences of Federal and/or state listed species within a two-mile radius of the project site. However, since the subject property is developed with a structure and parking lot, the redevelopment of the subject property is not expected to have any effect on endangered species. The VAFWIS map and report is attached in Appendix IV. This project will have No Effect on listed species because there are no listed species or designated critical habitats in the action area. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. |
Important Tips

• Change of scope in project might change review required.
  ✓ Re-evaluation may be required if ERR was completed.
• Other Federal Agencies’ ER cannot replace HUD process!
• DON’T SPEND A DIME – until ER is complete and approved.
• Even $.01 of CDBG money subjects the entire project to ER regulations. Can’t separate out activities, even if paid for with other funding, to avoid a more detailed review.

• When in doubt – contact HCD staff!
Questions