DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

2020 W. El Camino Avenue, Suite 670, 95833 P.O. Box 952054 Sacramento, CA 94252-2054 (916) 263-2771 www.hcd.ca.gov



California COVID-19 Rent Relief Program Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee – February 2024

Highlights and Milestones

Through the end of February 2024, the California COVID-19 Rent Relief program¹ has provided nearly \$5.73 billion in rent and utility assistance to eligible households statewide. Together, the state-administered and locally hosted Emergency Rental Assistance (ERA) programs have helped more than 492,800 California households, providing nearly 86 percent of the assistance to households with incomes at or below 50 percent of the area median.

The state-administered program has, through the end of February 2024, delivered approximately \$4.80 billion in financial assistance to more than 372,200 renter households, preventing homelessness and providing stability to more than 781,600 Californians. Of the \$4.80 billion in assistance, nearly \$1.73 billion, or roughly 36 percent, represents amounts paid with dollars from the state's Cashflow Loan Program.

In addition to the direct assistance provided by the state-administered program, locally administered programs have helped more than 120,600 households by providing over \$937.4° million in emergency assistance from state block grants and Cashflow Loan funds. Collectively, the local programs have expended approximately 98.6 percent of the \$974 million in ERA1³ and ERA2⁴ block grants the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) has disbursed to jurisdictions operating local programs (i.e., Option B)⁵.

As it became clear that demand for rent and utility assistance in California exceeded the original federal ERA1 and ERA2 grant awards, HCD submitted requests for additional funding to the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury) at every opportunity. To date, Treasury has announced eight tranches of approximately \$603 million in reallocated ERA1 and ERA2 funds for the state-administered program.

Several local jurisdictions in California also submitted reallocation requests to Treasury. At the time of this report, 16 locally administered programs had received additional funds

¹ This project is being supported, in whole or in part, by federal award numbers ERA0003 and ERAE0060 awarded to the California Department of Housing and Community Development by the U.S. Department of the Treasury.

² Reconciliation activities and related adjustments by some Option B local programs resulted in minor reductions in the amount of assistance reported as compared to prior months.

³ "ERA1" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards provided by Treasury pursuant to section 501 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021.

⁴ "ERA2" refers to Emergency Rental Assistance awards issued by Treasury pursuant to section 3201 of the American Rescue Plan Act.

⁵ The total of Option B block grants disbursed declined slightly during the reporting period due to local jurisdictions returning unused excess grant funds to HCD.

⁶ U.S. Treasury, "Reallocation Guidance," *Emergency Rental Assistance Program* (Oct. 4 and Oct. 25, 2021), https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program (as of Oct. 31, 2021).

California COVID-19 Rent Relief State Rental Assistance Program

Monthly Report to Joint Legislative Budget Committee - February 29, 2024

through ERA1 and ERA2 reallocations, totaling approximately \$77.2 million in added federal funding.

Cashflow Loans

SB 115 (Chapter 2, Statutes of 2022) provides authority for the Department of Finance to authorize the use of short-term cashflow loans to both the state-administered program and to eligible local jurisdictions administering their own Emergency Rental Assistance programs (Option B jurisdictions) pursuant to Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 50897) of Division 31 of Part 2 of the Health and Safety Code. The Cashflow Loan program is premised on the need for the state and local programs to have sufficient resources to continue to assist pending, eligible applications received by March 31, 2022, in anticipation of additional federal resources being made available. Expenditures from the State General Fund Cashflow Loan are referenced throughout the remainder of this report where appropriate.

Fund Recapture and Reallocation

HCD has been closely evaluating the performance of Option B jurisdictions that received ERA2 state block grants or cashflow loans. Many locally administered rental assistance programs stopped accepting new applications in 2022 and worked to fully process all eligible applications. HCD monitored each Option B program's need for funding and worked to collect unused state block grant funds from jurisdictions as they closed their rental assistance programs. Unused state block grant funds are reallocated to jurisdictions with unmet needs, including jurisdictions covered by the state-administered program. Further details of the obligations and expenditures by jurisdiction are listed in the section titled Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions — ERA2 Funds beginning on page 11.

Statutory Basis for Report

- (1) Pursuant to Section 50897.4 of the Health and Safety Code, the Department shall submit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, on a monthly basis for the duration of the program, a report that provides programmatic performance metrics for funds administered pursuant to this chapter. The report shall include, at minimum, the following information:
- (A) Obligation of funds for assistance provided under this chapter:
 - In total, over \$5.75 billion obligated to rent and utility assistance statewide.
 - \$4.82 billion in funds obligated from federal ERA1, ERA2, and State General Fund Cashflow Loan for eligible uses related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 2/29/2024.
 - \$974 million of the state allocation reserved for use by locally administered programs (Option B) as of 2/29/2024.
 - \$967.3 million in State Block Grant funds reported obligated by locally administered programs (Option B) for eligible uses and administrative expenses as of 2/29/2024.
- (B) Expenditure of funds for assistance provided under this chapter:
 - In total, almost \$5.73 billion expended for rent and utility assistance statewide.
 - \$3.06 billion in federal ERA1 and ERA2 funds expended on behalf of jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 2/29/2024.
 - \$1.73 billion of State General Fund Cashflow Loan expended for rent and utilities assistance related to jurisdictions in the State-Administered Program (Option A) as of 2/29/2024.
 - \$960.3 million in State Block Grant funds reported expended by locally administered programs (Option B) for eligible uses and administrative expenses as of 2/29/2024.
- (C) Expenditure by eligible uses for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter:
 - All expenditures were applied to eligible uses as listed in the tables provided on the following pages of this report.
- (D) Reallocation of funds, if any, for assistance provided pursuant to this chapter:
 - Please refer to Fund Recapture and Reallocation beginning on page 2.
- (E) Geographic distribution of funds provided pursuant to Section 50897.3 of the Health and Safety Code:
 - Please refer to Geographic Distribution of Funds tables beginning on page 7.
- (F) For the first monthly report submitted pursuant to this section only, an overview of which jurisdictions have elected to participate in the state rental assistance programs as provided in Sections 50897.2 and 50897.3, respectively:
 - This information was provided in the first monthly report, dated June 4, 2021.

Obligations, Expenditures, and Eligible Uses of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Federal Funds Values reflect HCD's use of ERA1 and ERA2 federal allocations and state reservations administered by the state on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A, including jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or Option C. Beginning in March 2022, the state-administered program also utilized resources from the State General Fund as authorized.

| | Rent |
|--|--------------------|
| Funds obligated for arrears ⁱ | \$4,307,185,910.76 |
| Funds expended for arrears by income level | \$2,678,520,419.08 |
| <=30% AMI | \$1,648,279,693.32 |
| >30 and <=50% AMI | \$641,506,522.01 |
| >50 and <=80% AMI | \$388,734,203.75 |
| Funds obligated for prospective payments ⁱ | \$345,325,537.53 |
| Funds expended for prospective payments by income level | \$328,923,212.49 |
| <=30% AMI | \$194,066,181.04 |
| >30 and <=50% AMI | \$80,618,685.28 |
| >50 and <=80% AMI | \$54,238,346.17 |
| | |

| Rent | Utilities | Total |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| \$4,307,185,910.76 | \$168,635,943.93 | \$4,475,821,854.69 |
| \$2,678,520,419.08 | \$56,145,907.74 | \$2,734,666,326.82 |
| \$1,648,279,693.32 | \$34,988,653.48 | \$1,683,268,346.80 |
| \$641,506,522.01 | \$12,441,292.77 | \$653,947,814.78 |
| \$388,734,203.75 | \$8,715,961.49 | \$397,450,165.24 |
| \$345,325,537.53 | \$0.00 | \$345,325,537.53 |
| \$328,923,212.49 | \$0.00 | \$328,923,212.49 |
| \$194,066,181.04 | \$0.00 | \$194,066,181.04 |
| \$80,618,685.28 | \$0.00 | \$80,618,685.28 |
| \$54,238,346.17 | \$0.00 | \$54,238,346.17 |

ⁱObligation values are not subdivided among the sources of funds that may eventually be expended (e.g., ERA1, ERA2, General Fund).

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – State General Fund Expenditures Values reflect HCD's expenditures of the State General Fund Cashflow Loan in accordance with SB 115 on behalf of the jurisdictions under Option A.

Funds **expended** for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

| Rent | Utilities | Total |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| \$1,605,436,454.03 | \$112,490,036.19 | \$1,717,926,490.22 |
| \$1,022,685,463.86 | \$65,672,761.63 | \$1,088,358,225.49 |
| \$369,753,171.47 | \$27,415,806.77 | \$397,168,978.24 |
| \$212,997,818.70 | \$19,401,467.79 | \$232,399,286.49 |
| \$15,075,762.37 | \$0.00 | \$15,075,762.37 |
| \$9,063,236.57 | \$0.00 | \$9,063,236.57 |
| \$3,576,898.60 | \$0.00 | \$3,576,898.60 |
| \$2,435,627.20 | \$0.00 | \$2,435,627.20 |

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

The table below reflects the aggregated expenditures of the 19 Option B jurisdictions with ERA1 state block grants as of the date of this report. Individual results are listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA1 Funds" on page 10.

| Funds | oblid | ated | for | arrears |
|---------|-------|------|-----|---------|
| i uiius | OBIIG | alcu | 101 | ancais |

Funds expended for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **obligated** for prospective payments Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

| Rent | Utilities | Total |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| \$399,197,045.91 | \$29,959,209.03 | \$429,156,254.94 |
| \$399,197,045.82 | \$29,959,193.62 | \$429,156,239.44 |
| \$276,694,089.56 | \$15,973,912.45 | \$292,668,002.01 |
| \$75,021,386.21 | \$7,950,708.90 | \$82,972,095.11 |
| \$47,481,570.05 | \$6,034,572.27 | \$53,516,142.32 |
| \$75,302,506.46 | \$1,443,136.07 | \$76,745,642.53 |
| \$75,302,506.45 | \$1,443,136.07 | \$76,745,642.52 |
| \$47,947,372.19 | \$609,483.48 | \$48,556,855.67 |
| \$18,310,191.51 | \$448,073.47 | \$18,758,264.98 |
| \$9,044,942.75 | \$385,579.12 | \$9,430,521.87 |

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA2 Funds

Of the 24 localities in Option B for ERA2, 13 were expending State Block Grant ERA2 funds as of the date of this report as listed in the table under "Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions – ERA2 Funds" on page 11. Many of the Option B jurisdictions are utilizing their federal ERA2 funds before they begin expending their State Block Grant funds.

Funds obligated for arrears

Funds expended for arrears by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

Funds **obligated** for prospective payments Funds **expended** for prospective payments by income level

<=30% AMI

>30 and <=50% AMI

>50 and <=80% AMI

| Rent | Utilities | Total |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| \$282,426,603.86 | \$23,886,630.17 | \$306,313,234.03 |
| \$282,369,160.29 | \$23,844,341.40 | \$306,213,501.69 |
| \$172,941,264.91 | \$13,985,048.48 | \$186,926,313.39 |
| \$68,644,448.79 | \$6,090,112.04 | \$74,734,560.83 |
| \$40,783,446.59 | \$3,769,180.87 | \$44,552,627.46 |
| \$53,793,992.91 | \$530,927.92 | \$54,324,920.83 |
| \$53,713,577.91 | \$530,927.92 | \$54,244,505.83 |
| \$36,879,980.17 | \$235,300.38 | \$37,115,280.55 |
| \$12,365,721.08 | \$115,273.61 | \$12,480,994.69 |
| \$4,467,876.66 | \$180,353.93 | \$4,648,230.59 |

Household Applications by Race and Ethnicity - Option A Jurisdictions

Values reflect the cumulative volume of applications submitted to and processed by the state-administered program for Option A jurisdictions.

| Race |
|----------------------------------|
| American Indian or Alaska Native |
| Asian |
| Black or African American |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific |
| Islander White |
| Other Multi-Racial |
| Not Reported |
| Refuse to Answer |
| Totals |

| Active Tenant Applications ⁷ | Tenant Applications Paid | Federal Funds Expended | State General Fund Expended |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 4,985 | 4,820 | \$34,807,688.34 | \$20,835,224.16 |
| 24,569 | 24,112 | \$233,180,559.15 | \$95,740,889.44 |
| 75,175 | 73,313 | \$580,354,913.66 | \$363,108,900.65 |
| 3,484 | 3,379 | \$30,126,493.26 | \$14,892,341.20 |
| 130,491 | 126,274 | \$1,068,772,649.38 | \$612,608,723.24 |
| 59,469 | 58,091 | \$472,477,763.19 | \$248,016,524.91 |
| 38,004 | 36,945 | \$287,813,788.90 | \$183,233,225.16 |
| 46,358 | 45,289 | \$356,055,683.43 | \$194,566,423.83 |
| 382,535 | 372,223 | \$3,063,589,539.31 | \$1,733,002,252.59 |

Ethnicity

Hispanic or Latino
Non-Hispanic or Latino
Not Reported
Refuse to Answer
Totals

| Active Tenant Applications | Tenant Applications Paid | Federal Funds Expended | State General Fund Expended |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 126,335 | 123,718 | \$898,976,442.72 | \$467,840,723.06 |
| 185,472 | 179,965 | \$1,577,989,714.28 | \$908,077,163.86 |
| 40,188 | 38,989 | \$317,604,200.74 | \$203,526,497.37 |
| 30,540 | 29,551 | \$269,019,181.57 | \$153,557,868.30 |
| 382,535 | 372,223 | \$3,063,589,539.31 | \$1,733,002,252.59 |

⁷ The state-administered program adjusted the "active" inventory to exclude applications it deemed ineligible and to which it issued denial notices.

Geographic Distribution of Funds

State-Administered Program - Option A Jurisdictions – ERA1 and ERA2 Federal Funds, plus State General Fund Figures reflect the state-administered program's use of federal allocations, state reservations, and state funds pursuant to SB 115 on behalf of jurisdictions. All jurisdictions previously listed as Option B or C that transitioned to Option A in September 2021 are now included in this table.

| | Obligations | Expenditures | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Jurisdiction | Rent Arrears | Utility Arrears | Prospective Rent | Prosp. Utility | Rent Arrears | Utility Arrears | Prospective Rent | Prosp. Utility |
| Alpine County | \$58,722.00 | \$688.56 | \$- | \$- | \$58,722.00 | \$688.56 | \$- | \$- |
| Amador County | \$2,477,943.51 | \$156,313.65 | \$201,473.71 | \$- | \$2,477,943.51 | \$156,313.65 | \$186,227.71 | \$- |
| Butte County | \$19,572,096.11 | \$1,690,758.83 | \$1,608,979.83 | \$- | \$19,519,760.28 | \$1,690,758.83 | \$1,608,979.83 | \$- |
| Calaveras County | \$3,850,793.96 | \$212,617.70 | \$141,704.35 | \$- | \$3,817,465.67 | \$212,617.70 | \$137,585.24 | \$- |
| Colusa County | \$958,534.05 | \$94,422.13 | \$37,699.99 | \$- | \$958,534.05 | \$94,422.13 | \$36,645.99 | \$- |
| Contra Costa County | \$207,318,433.77 | \$9,130,042.33 | \$20,763,024.32 | \$- | \$205,745,577.20 | \$9,130,042.33 | \$20,763,024.32 | \$- |
| Del Norte County | \$2,781,396.96 | \$87,087.39 | \$145,559.00 | \$- | \$2,742,405.96 | \$87,087.39 | \$145,559.00 | \$- |
| El Dorado County | \$13,758,425.50 | \$523,167.93 | \$868,321.65 | \$- | \$13,660,806.80 | \$523,167.93 | \$868,321.65 | \$- |
| Fontana City | \$19,690,219.78 | \$1,086,351.88 | \$1,377,247.85 | \$- | \$19,583,221.64 | \$1,086,351.88 | \$1,377,247.85 | \$- |
| Glenn County | \$1,847,019.60 | \$209,990.92 | \$130,305.68 | \$- | \$1,816,282.60 | \$209,990.92 | \$130,305.68 | \$- |
| Humboldt County | \$20,467,071.45 | \$1,191,440.27 | \$1,279,252.55 | \$- | \$20,467,071.45 | \$1,191,440.27 | \$1,269,936.77 | \$- |
| Imperial County | \$10,194,845.18 | \$392,872.31 | \$1,014,504.80 | \$- | \$10,176,276.19 | \$392,872.31 | \$1,014,504.80 | \$- |
| Inyo County | \$664,005.30 | \$67,488.97 | \$47,913.75 | \$- | \$659,510.30 | \$67,488.97 | \$47,913.75 | \$- |
| Irvine City* | \$48,179,431.61 | \$1,317,060.09 | \$6,625,543.12 | \$- | \$48,179,431.61 | \$1,317,060.09 | \$6,625,543.12 | \$- |
| Kings County | \$9,987,670.04 | \$1,121,000.53 | \$710,412.37 | \$- | \$9,987,670.04 | \$1,121,000.53 | \$710,412.37 | \$- |
| Lake County | \$13,873,763.03 | \$679,812.15 | \$417,007.36 | \$- | \$13,702,081.03 | \$679,812.15 | \$407,098.12 | \$- |
| Lassen County | \$1,252,308.74 | \$13,161.12 | \$94,763.96 | \$- | \$1,252,308.74 | \$13,161.12 | \$94,763.96 | \$- |
| Los Angeles City* | \$1,332,359,369.43 | \$57,094,517.03 | \$80,099,078.70 | \$- | \$1,327,594,685.26 | \$57,094,517.02 | \$79,626,571.26 | \$- |
| Los Angeles County | \$1,114,385,614.86 | \$33,838,002.60 | \$99,108,471.87 | \$- | \$1,104,901,548.60 | \$33,838,002.60 | \$99,108,471.87 | \$- |
| Madera County | \$8,607,154.48 | \$785,325.49 | \$652,699.44 | \$- | \$8,593,918.48 | \$785,325.49 | \$652,414.44 | \$- |
| Mariposa County | \$964,706.16 | \$81,657.72 | \$54,936.00 | \$- | \$956,831.16 | \$81,657.72 | \$54,936.00 | \$- |
| Mendocino County | \$9,082,216.58 | \$550,947.98 | \$406,367.22 | \$- | \$9,082,216.58 | \$550,947.98 | \$391,926.13 | \$- |

| | Obligations | | | | Expenditures | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Jurisdiction | Rent Arrears | Utility Arrears | Prospective Rent | Prosp. Utility | Rent Arrears | Utility Arrears | Prospective Rent | Prosp. Utility |
| Merced County | \$19,700,899.19 | \$1,745,341.07 | \$2,055,857.17 | \$- | \$19,661,981.92 | \$1,745,341.07 | \$2,044,000.48 | \$- |
| Modesto City | \$18,672,619.71 | \$1,585,454.78 | \$1,427,537.43 | \$- | \$18,649,923.64 | \$1,585,454.78 | \$1,427,537.43 | \$- |
| Modoc County | \$655,045.50 | \$6,088.83 | \$28,922.00 | \$- | \$655,045.50 | \$6,088.83 | \$28,922.00 | \$- |
| Mono County | \$488,429.07 | \$48,709.36 | \$130,001.38 | \$- | \$488,429.07 | \$48,709.36 | \$130,001.38 | \$- |
| Napa County | \$10,943,550.68 | \$539,694.34 | \$1,119,909.30 | \$- | \$10,808,622.96 | \$539,694.34 | \$1,119,909.30 | \$- |
| Nevada County | \$7,611,859.12 | \$442,205.75 | \$580,834.39 | \$- | \$7,611,859.12 | \$442,205.75 | \$580,834.39 | \$- |
| Oakland City | \$61,524,156.32 | \$1,703,380.97 | \$4,940,496.45 | \$- | \$61,003,009.18 | \$1,703,380.97 | \$4,940,496.45 | \$- |
| Orange County | \$241,898,540.93 | \$7,395,493.35 | \$27,407,855.59 | \$- | \$240,826,193.44 | \$7,395,493.35 | \$27,407,855.59 | \$- |
| Oxnard City | \$27,516,983.73 | \$922,249.90 | \$3,456,926.05 | \$- | \$27,516,983.72 | \$922,249.90 | \$3,443,027.05 | \$- |
| Placer County | \$21,744,955.75 | \$1,222,080.88 | \$2,320,610.23 | \$- | \$21,744,955.75 | \$1,222,080.88 | \$2,292,958.96 | \$- |
| Plumas County | \$638,256.80 | \$30,896.07 | \$65,023.21 | \$- | \$622,686.59 | \$30,896.07 | \$65,023.21 | \$- |
| San Benito County | \$4,267,402.22 | \$205,922.43 | \$303,443.99 | \$- | \$4,267,402.22 | \$205,922.43 | \$303,443.99 | \$- |
| San Bernardino County | \$180,650,428.87 | \$10,678,786.03 | \$9,629,407.51 | \$- | \$179,975,289.03 | \$10,678,786.03 | \$9,629,407.51 | \$- |
| San Francisco County | \$173,927,128.25 | \$2,438,190.79 | \$10,995,571.94 | \$- | \$173,927,128.24 | \$2,438,190.79 | \$10,326,208.20 | \$- |
| San Joaquin County | \$30,227,042.58 | \$2,143,432.49 | \$1,925,106.33 | \$- | \$30,227,042.57 | \$2,143,432.49 | \$1,925,106.33 | \$- |
| San Jose City | \$118,551,920.49 | \$3,815,854.21 | \$12,119,287.09 | \$- | \$117,898,675.91 | \$3,815,854.21 | \$12,119,287.09 | \$- |
| San Luis Obispo County | \$20,594,207.18 | \$689,591.24 | \$1,663,255.75 | \$- | \$20,445,341.59 | \$689,591.24 | \$1,663,255.75 | \$- |
| San Mateo County | \$100,418,543.31 | \$2,306,792.36 | \$11,585,151.61 | \$- | \$99,549,656.98 | \$2,306,792.36 | \$11,585,151.61 | \$- |
| Santa Ana City | \$3,458,039.23 | \$123,630.28 | \$603,319.54 | \$- | \$3,346,370.36 | \$123,630.28 | \$603,319.54 | |
| Santa Barbara County | \$11,673,229.80 | \$453,303.40 | \$2,142,701.06 | \$- | \$11,631,790.98 | \$453,303.40 | \$2,142,701.06 | \$- |
| Santa Clara County | \$66,248,752.14 | \$1,908,008.10 | \$6,809,311.97 | \$- | \$65,747,003.68 | \$1,908,008.10 | \$6,809,311.97 | \$- |
| Santa Clarita City | \$38,013,679.83 | \$1,568,608.91 | \$3,745,033.81 | \$- | \$37,809,738.93 | \$1,568,608.91 | \$3,745,033.81 | \$- |
| Santa Cruz County | \$26,752,869.38 | \$970,935.54 | \$2,470,372.30 | \$- | \$26,346,726.14 | \$970,935.54 | \$2,470,372.30 | \$- |
| Shasta County | \$18,716,780.25 | \$850,241.43 | \$1,088,452.39 | \$- | \$18,611,982.92 | \$850,241.43 | \$1,062,801.98 | \$- |
| Sierra County | \$126,303.39 | \$9,512.78 | \$11,460.00 | \$- | \$114,363.39 | \$9,512.78 | \$11,460.00 | \$- |
| Siskiyou County | \$4,427,324.17 | \$175,787.17 | \$324,860.50 | \$- | \$4,427,324.17 | \$175,787.17 | \$314,072.00 | \$- |
| Solano County | \$71,319,676.61 | \$3,448,617.06 | \$4,785,233.10 | \$- | \$70,956,659.02 | \$3,448,617.06 | \$4,784,714.33 | \$- |
| Stanislaus County | \$19,641,463.63 | \$898,854.25 | \$1,113,029.66 | \$- | \$19,430,683.98 | \$898,854.25 | \$1,099,752.52 | \$- |

| | Obligations | | | Expenditures | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Jurisdiction | Rent Arrears | Utility Arrears | Prospective Rent | Prosp. Utility | Rent Arrears | Utility Arrears | Prospective Rent | Prosp. Utility |
| Sutter County | \$8,636,610.77 | \$779,906.15 | \$568,239.80 | \$- | \$8,609,757.19 | \$779,906.15 | \$558,917.00 | \$- |
| Tehama County | \$5,703,147.02 | \$382,743.70 | \$349,086.61 | \$- | \$5,648,165.50 | \$382,743.70 | \$349,086.61 | \$- |
| Trinity County | \$1,308,165.40 | \$23,380.72 | \$54,840.00 | \$- | \$1,305,315.40 | \$23,380.72 | \$54,840.00 | \$- |
| Tulare County | \$41,059,080.19 | \$3,637,803.04 | \$2,437,679.15 | \$- | \$41,059,080.19 | \$3,637,803.04 | \$2,420,322.46 | \$- |
| Tuolumne County | \$5,162,047.19 | \$294,998.88 | \$209,755.08 | \$- | \$5,162,047.19 | \$294,998.88 | \$209,755.08 | \$- |
| Ventura County | \$73,225,157.74 | \$2,669,990.24 | \$8,262,320.80 | \$- | \$72,766,863.46 | \$2,669,990.24 | \$8,262,320.80 | \$- |
| Yolo County | \$18,572,038.06 | \$1,345,928.88 | \$2,164,171.53 | \$- | \$18,480,006.71 | \$1,345,928.88 | \$2,164,171.53 | \$- |
| Yuba County | \$10,777,834.18 | \$848,800.97 | \$645,205.29 | \$- | \$10,688,497.32 | \$848,800.97 | \$645,205.29 | \$- |
| Subtotals | \$4,307,185,910.78 | \$168,635,943.93 | \$345,325,537.53 | \$- | \$4,283,956,873.11 | \$168,635,943.92 | \$343,998,974.86 | \$- |

^{*} Option A jurisdictions that are also included in this report as Option B prior to transition to A.

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA1 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

| Obligations | | | | | Expenditures | | | | |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| Jurisdiction | Rent Arrears | Utility Arrears | Prospective Rent | Prospective Utility | Rent Arrears | Utility Arrears | Prospective Rent | Prospective Utility | |
| Alameda County | \$21,088,952.82 | \$1,374,018.76 | \$9,446,256.79 | \$- | \$21,088,952.82 | \$1,374,018.76 | \$9,446,256.79 | \$- | |
| Anaheim City | \$8,015,184.67 | \$223,703.92 | \$4,184,768.33 | \$- | \$8,015,184.67 | \$223,703.92 | \$4,184,768.33 | \$- | |
| Bakersfield City | \$10,474,953.16 | \$1,975,610.36 | \$- | \$- | \$10,474,953.16 | \$1,975,610.36 | \$- | \$- | |
| Chula Vista City | \$5,914,902.40 | \$71,738.41 | \$3,268,231.81 | \$- | \$5,914,902.40 | \$71,738.41 | \$3,268,231.81 | \$- | |
| Fresno City | \$13,405,129.75 | \$4,525,071.79 | \$- | \$- | \$13,405,129.75 | \$4,525,071.79 | \$- | \$- | |
| Fresno County | \$6,188,551.09 | \$1,933,441.85 | \$6,805,737.93 | \$219,730.43 | \$6,188,551.09 | \$1,933,426.45 | \$6,805,737.93 | \$219,730.43 | |
| Irvine City | \$7,351,073.18 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$7,351,073.18 | \$- | \$- | \$- | |
| Kern County | \$12,985,019.48 | \$2,236,420.59 | \$- | \$- | \$12,985,019.48 | \$2,236,420.59 | \$- | \$- | |
| Long Beach City | \$12,960,233.26 | \$635,835.35 | \$1,374,928.94 | \$17,804.62 | \$12,960,233.26 | \$635,835.35 | \$1,374,928.94 | \$17,804.62 | |
| Los Angeles City | \$114,368,232.91 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$114,368,232.91 | \$- | \$- | \$- | |
| Marin County | \$8,277,053.47 | \$27,751.11 | \$92,844.63 | \$- | \$8,277,053.47 | \$27,751.11 | \$92,844.63 | \$- | |
| Monterey County | \$8,876,512.21 | \$1,254,707.97 | \$3,372,847.17 | \$1,146.25 | \$8,876,512.21 | \$1,254,707.97 | \$3,372,847.17 | \$1,146.25 | |
| Riverside City | \$9,228,915.42 | \$1,222,855.44 | \$299,255.14 | \$- | \$9,228,915.42 | \$1,222,855.44 | \$299,255.14 | \$- | |
| Riverside County | \$45,934,043.62 | \$5,524,314.37 | \$10,128,872.37 | \$906,384.89 | \$45,934,043.53 | \$5,524,314.37 | \$10,128,872.37 | \$906,384.89 | |
| Sacramento City | \$15,964,659.00 | \$676,368.00 | \$- | \$- | \$15,964,659.00 | \$676,368.00 | \$- | \$- | |
| Sacramento County | \$32,741,571.00 | \$864,249.00 | \$- | \$- | \$32,741,571.00 | \$864,249.00 | \$- | \$- | |
| San Diego City | \$12,740,251.54 | \$2,358,065.85 | \$31,033,393.08 | \$- | \$12,740,251.54 | \$2,358,065.85 | \$31,033,393.08 | \$- | |
| San Diego County | \$47,699,793.14 | \$3,728,931.94 | \$1,770,428.67 | \$- | \$47,699,793.14 | \$3,728,931.94 | \$1,770,428.67 | \$- | |
| Stockton City | \$4,982,013.79 | \$1,326,124.32 | \$3,524,941.60 | \$298,069.88 | \$4,982,013.79 | \$1,326,124.32 | \$3,524,941.60 | \$298,069.88 | |
| Subtotals | \$399,197,045.91 | \$29,959,209.03 | \$75,302,506.46 | \$1,443,136.07 | \$399,197,045.82 | \$29,959,193.63 | \$75,302,506.46 | \$1,443,136.07 | |

Locally Administered Programs - Option B Jurisdictions - ERA2 Funds

Figures reflect only the locally administered programs' use of State Block Grant funds. Each jurisdiction is accountable for reporting directly to the Treasury regarding use of its respective federal allocation.

| | Obligations | | | | Expenditures | | | |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Jurisdiction | Rent Arrears | Utility Arrears | Prospective Rent | Prospective Utility | Rent Arrears | Utility Arrears | Prospective Rent | Prospective Utility |
| Alameda County | \$18,413,742.00 | \$1,111,235.75 | \$5,166,086.25 | \$- | \$18,413,742.00 | \$1,111,235.75 | \$5,166,086.25 | \$- |
| Anaheim City | \$2,186,614.62 | \$1,684,417.97 | \$704,399.01 | \$- | \$2,134,492.83 | \$1,644,022.00 | \$623,984.01 | \$- |
| Bakersfield City | \$8,249,956.90 | \$1,459,627.46 | \$- | \$- | \$8,249,956.90 | \$1,459,627.46 | \$- | \$- |
| Chula Vista City | \$5,615,373.60 | \$330,390.78 | \$2,794,699.97 | \$- | \$5,615,373.60 | \$330,390.78 | \$2,794,699.97 | \$- |
| Fremont City | \$4,989,476.95 | \$221,343.35 | \$743,915.83 | \$- | \$4,989,476.95 | \$221,343.35 | \$743,915.83 | \$- |
| Fresno City | \$10,323,545.17 | \$2,169,956.39 | \$- | \$- | \$10,323,545.17 | \$2,169,956.39 | \$- | \$- |
| Fresno County | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| Kern County | \$10,002,730.62 | \$2,311,445.50 | \$- | \$- | \$10,002,730.62 | \$2,311,445.50 | \$- | \$- |
| Long Beach City | \$9,032,999.77 | \$691,911.46 | \$1,602,198.72 | \$4,132.38 | \$9,032,999.77 | \$691,911.46 | \$1,602,198.72 | \$4,132.38 |
| Marin County | \$5,296,668.05 | \$256,681.96 | \$664,114.13 | \$25,127.57 | \$5,296,668.05 | \$256,681.96 | \$664,114.13 | \$25,127.57 |
| Monterey County | \$6,479,478.31 | \$496,501.68 | \$2,933,483.10 | \$72,376.09 | \$6,479,478.31 | \$496,501.68 | \$2,933,483.10 | \$72,376.09 |
| Moreno Valley City | \$3,488,426.48 | \$1,019,128.52 | \$78,598.00 | \$- | \$3,488,426.48 | \$1,019,128.48 | \$78,598.00 | \$- |
| Oakland City | \$9,922,116.98 | \$462,694.22 | \$132,826.46 | \$- | \$9,922,116.98 | \$462,694.22 | \$132,826.46 | \$- |
| Riverside City | \$3,091,436.38 | \$235,679.68 | \$- | \$- | \$3,091,436.38 | \$235,679.68 | \$- | \$- |
| Riverside County | \$41,955,491.28 | \$3,555,738.14 | \$957,965.59 | \$269.14 | \$41,950,169.50 | \$3,555,738.14 | \$957,965.59 | \$269.14 |
| Sacramento City | \$12,224,433.00 | \$137,709.00 | \$- | \$- | \$12,224,433.00 | \$137,709.00 | \$- | \$- |
| Sacramento County | \$22,677,229.00 | \$542,417.00 | \$- | \$- | \$22,677,229.00 | \$542,417.00 | \$- | \$- |
| San Bernardino City | \$4,558,547.52 | \$436,309.77 | \$211,105.52 | \$- | \$4,558,547.52 | \$436,309.77 | \$211,105.52 | \$- |
| San Diego City | \$30,061,394.88 | \$2,066,878.74 | \$25,364,671.03 | \$- | \$30,061,394.88 | \$2,066,878.74 | \$25,364,671.03 | \$- |
| San Diego County | \$54,505,833.87 | \$3,337,831.03 | \$5,031,445.19 | \$- | \$54,505,833.87 | \$3,337,831.03 | \$5,031,445.19 | \$- |
| Santa Ana City | \$4,941,963.22 | \$- | \$749,129.30 | \$- | \$4,941,963.22 | \$- | \$749,129.30 | \$- |
| Santa Barbara County | \$2,832,784.05 | \$292,103.84 | \$1,149,241.71 | \$- | \$2,832,784.05 | \$292,103.84 | \$1,149,241.71 | \$- |
| Sonoma County | \$7,508,459.14 | \$588,162.40 | \$2,718,029.72 | \$274,422.13 | \$7,508,459.14 | \$586,269.64 | \$2,718,029.72 | \$274,422.13 |
| Stockton City | \$4,067,902.07 | \$478,465.53 | \$2,792,083.38 | \$154,600.61 | \$4,067,902.07 | \$478,465.53 | \$2,792,083.38 | \$154,600.61 |
| Subtotals | \$282,426,603.86 | \$23,886,630.17 | \$53,793,992.91 | \$530,927.92 | \$282,369,160.29 | \$23,844,341.40 | \$53,713,577.91 | \$530,927.92 |